

publisher in the substituted section, present §10-107, resulting in some confusion. With the exception of certain stylistic modifications, the provisions of this section restore the section to its original form and intent, as enacted by Ch. 534, Acts of 1970.

The only other changes are in style.

As to administrative penalties for violation of this section, see §20-109 of this title and §16-402(a) (9) of this article.

The Commission notes that subsection (d) (1) of this section presupposes that the investigating officer might not file a report with the State Police. In any event, isn't it an undue burden to require the driver (or owner), as now stated, to make sure that a report in fact, was filed? Should the investigating officer be required by statute to make this report?

Also, since at least one purpose of this section is to help enforcement of the State's required security laws (see Title 17 of this article), should not the report of the investigating officer be required to contain the information called for by subsection (a) (1) (ii) and (2) of this section?

20-108. FALSE REPORTS PROHIBITED.

A PERSON MAY NOT GIVE ANY INFORMATION THAT HE KNOWS OR HAS REASON TO BELIEVE IS FALSE IN ANY ORAL OR WRITTEN REPORT REQUIRED BY THIS TITLE.

REVISOR'S NOTE: This section presently appears as Art. 66 1/2, §10-108.

The present express reference to a violation of this section as a "misdemeanor" is deleted as unnecessary in light of §27-101 of this article.

The only other changes are in style.

20-109. ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTY FOR VIOLATION OF REPORTING REQUIREMENTS.

IF A PERSON FAILS TO FILE A WRITTEN ACCIDENT REPORT AS REQUIRED BY §§ 20-107 AND 20-113 OF THIS TITLE OR TO GIVE CORRECTLY THE INFORMATION REQUIRED BY THE ADMINISTRATION IN CONNECTION WITH THE REPORT, THE ADMINISTRATION MAY SUSPEND OR REVOKE:

- (1) THE LICENSE TO DRIVE OF THE PERSON; AND