

March Court 1701

Thomas Broflage And y^e said defend^t by Michael Barle his atty comes & defendes the
 fore & injury wher^e said and for p^{er}sa Saith had it do^e y^e said defend^t
 ad^{er} Walter Smith did take & detaine an obligation unto y^e said Plaintiff for y^e sum of
 one thousand pounds of sol. as in y^e Plaint. Dord. is sett forth but
 said y^e Plaintiff and detaining said Debt^r y^e said defend^t did toborall tobrives and detainers
 toborall Controditioⁿ to y^e said Plaintiff as by an au^t. servants annexed and here in Court
 brought y^e said appeared amounting to y^e sum of five hundred & thirty three pounds of sol.
 which he y^e said defend^t prayed may be discounted out of y^e aff^o. debt and as by residu^m
 being toborall returned therly toborall pounds of sol. he y^e said defend^t doth not Gain say to^e

Nov^r 1699

Walter Smith and D ^r	Barle of Defend ^t
To days work a digging of Wheals at 25 ^s p ^{er}	50
To 3 days work at 25 ^s p ^{er} day	75
To 1 st days cutting wood	25
To 1 st day of sking of beefs	25
To 1 st days work of cleaning a horse	25
To 3 pints of rum at 7 ^s p ^{er} pint	21
To Cash	12
To Charges going in abouts	50
	263

March 9 24 1701
 Then came Thomas Broflage & made oath that Thomas Broflage
 within aut^r is just & true and that he hath not
 part nor parcel therof / Foram me J^r Hawkins

The which aut^r being by y^e said Richard Mactin atty of y^e said Walter Smith produced
 to y^e Court & it evidently appearing that y^e said aut^r was due to y^e said Plaintiff
 Judgment was awarded to y^e said Plaintiff for y^e said sum of one thousand pounds of sol.
 and Cost^s answering theron

Therefore it is considered by y^e Court this viz^t y^e 27th Day of March anno Domⁱ 1701
 that y^e said Plaintiff Walter Smith recover from y^e said defend^t Thomas Broflage
 as well y^e sum of one thousand pounds of sol. Debt as also y^e sum of five hundred and
 forty & two pounds of sol. Cost^s of said and y^e said Thomas Broflage in Merger
 M^r Dowdell

Command was given to y^e Coronor of Kent County y^e 29th Day of Nov^r anno Domⁱ 1700
 that he take & have King^s writ^s of y^e Capt^{ns} will^s of Edwrd. Bissetnam^e of y^e said
 County Kent. Dord^r y^e found in his bailisick and him sayd^s to say that he have his
 before his Maj^{ty} Justices at y^e next Court to answer unto John Jesselnam of a plea
 of Trespass upon y^e Case

Which next Court viz^t y^e 2nd Day of Jan^y anno Domⁱ 1700 being and adjourned Court all
 of y^e said Court untill y^e 2nd Day of Feb^r following
 At the which next Court viz^t y^e 2nd Day of Feb^r anno Domⁱ 1700 y^e Day of y^e
 returne of said writ^s according to adjournm^{nt} came Thomas Smith one of y^e Coronors of Kent
 County and made returne therof in these words viz^t and Capi^{us} Corpus Thomas Smith
 On such said day y^e said defend^t y^e Richard Mactin his atty appeared and prayd
 therof to Impar^{is} untill y^e next Court which was granted unto him y^e said day was given
 to y^e said Plaintiff
 M^r Barle 29th and