

Henry Carler... Seven hundred & eighty nine pounds of Gold... And y<sup>e</sup> said Defendant... in Court & confessed Judgement for y<sup>e</sup> said sum of Gold & Cost of Suits

Therefore it is considered by y<sup>e</sup> Court here this day viz. 23. day of Novemb. Anno Dom. 1700 that y<sup>e</sup> said Plaintiff Henry Carler do recover from y<sup>e</sup> said Defendant Elias King Esq. of Gold & Cost in his said Capacity as well y<sup>e</sup> sum of Seven hundred & eighty nine pounds of Gold. Doob as also y<sup>e</sup> sum of Two hundred & eighty pounds of Gold. Cost of Suits and y<sup>e</sup> said Elias in Merit

John Carler... Seven hundred & thirty nine pounds of Gold... And y<sup>e</sup> said Elias King in his said Capacity in his proper person comes into Court & confessed Judgement for y<sup>e</sup> said sum and Cost of Suits

Therefore it is considered by y<sup>e</sup> Court here this day viz. 23. day of Novemb. Anno Dom. 1700 that y<sup>e</sup> said Plaintiff John Carler do recover from y<sup>e</sup> said Defendant Elias King Esq. of Gold & Cost in his said Capacity as well y<sup>e</sup> sum of Six hundred thirty nine pounds of Gold. Doob as also y<sup>e</sup> sum of Two hundred & eighty pounds of Gold. Cost of Suits and y<sup>e</sup> said Elias in Merit

Wm Batsman being presented by y<sup>e</sup> Grand Jury in March Court 1698. for feloniously stealing one Colt... proper goods and Chattels of a certaine Barbara Eboritt and a warrant having issued y<sup>e</sup> said Batsman but y<sup>e</sup> said Batsman not being apprehended y<sup>e</sup> said Cause was stayed & y<sup>e</sup> said Wm not prosecuted untill this present viz. 23. day of Aug. Anno Dom. 1700 All which said 23. day of August 1700. there having a warrant issued commanding & requiring y<sup>e</sup> Thos. of Kent County to apprehend & bring of y<sup>e</sup> said Wm Batsman if found in his Parish... when soe apprehended to carry before some one of his Majesties Justices of y<sup>e</sup> Peace for said County & with sufficient Securities to enter into recognizance for his appearance at y<sup>e</sup> next Court & good behaviour in y<sup>e</sup> meane time which next Court viz. 23. day of Sep. being and adjourned Court which Cause was continued untill this present Court viz. 23. day of Novemb. Anno Dom. 1700 and now here at this day viz. 23. day of Novemb. Anno Dom. 1700 y<sup>e</sup> said William appeared as also Richard Macklin who prosecuted for y<sup>e</sup> King y<sup>e</sup> said Cause standing for Prayall y<sup>e</sup> said presentment was framed into an Indictment as followeth viz. and

Kent sh. This Jurors for our Sovereigne Lord y<sup>e</sup> King that now is for Kent County upon the said Barred do present William Batsman alias called William Batsman of y<sup>e</sup> said County s<sup>r</sup> Clerk for that he y<sup>e</sup> said William Batsman y<sup>e</sup> fourth day of Octobr Anno Dom. 1698. in y<sup>e</sup> said County in Upper Langford Baye numbered within y<sup>e</sup> jurisdiction of this Court with force & armed one Colt of y<sup>e</sup> price of three hundred pounds of Gold of y<sup>e</sup> proper goods & Chattels of a certaine Barbara Eboritt of London and stole & bare away against y<sup>e</sup> peace of our Sovereigne Lord y<sup>e</sup> King and against y<sup>e</sup> form of y<sup>e</sup> Act of Assembly in that behalf made & provided

Not Guilty & patriam... And y<sup>e</sup> said Richard Macklin who prosecuted for y<sup>e</sup> King he is guilty Command was there to y<sup>e</sup> Thos. of Kent County that he cause Macklin & Dom. Reg. to be recognized... was commanded viz. Thos. whomade answer had there ready Resoluc<sup>o</sup> as by his writt to Nicholas Maffey Dav... Wyatt Edward Blyflos Thomas Browne James Wyattson George Smith for Thomas Kalk... Docten Francis Sparminl Thomas Jear and Edwin an... their charge who called to consider y<sup>e</sup> same and after some time doo againe returne also being againe called over as also y<sup>e</sup> s<sup>r</sup> Juror and being demanded whether y<sup>e</sup> said Wm was guilty as he stood indicted or not doo say the said William is not guilty as he stands indicted and y<sup>e</sup> Thomas Wyatt all of them soe say

Therefore it is considered by y<sup>e</sup> Court here that y<sup>e</sup> said Wm as to y<sup>e</sup> said Indictment god the rooof without day saying his good

J<sup>n</sup> Dowdall