

5709  
100

Nobon: Part 111 of 111

Summons was given to the Sheriff of Kent County v<sup>r</sup> 29 day of November Anno Domini 1700 also  
v<sup>r</sup> thirtieth day of Dec<sup>r</sup> by showing that his Late Emanuel Friend of Kent County  
is found in his said County & in his said County he doth now have his body before his Majestie  
Justices at the next County assizing for said County to answer unto James Williams of  
Essex of Great Britain upon his cause.

All which nob<sup>r</sup> Court v<sup>r</sup> 17<sup>r</sup> day of Feb<sup>r</sup> 1700<sup>r</sup> day of v<sup>r</sup> returned of his first writ  
according to adjournment came the King High Sheriff of said County and made returned and

Lib<sup>r</sup> wife came v<sup>r</sup> said place King Sheriff of said County made return of said Second  
writ v<sup>r</sup> 25 day of March Anno Domini 1701<sup>r</sup> day of v<sup>r</sup> return of said Second writ v<sup>r</sup>

epi corpus of said King Sheriff  
On which said day v<sup>r</sup> said Defendant by Michael Gates his attorney appeared and prayed in  
Proof to Imparle until v<sup>r</sup> Nob<sup>r</sup> Court which was granted him v<sup>r</sup> same day was given v<sup>r</sup>  
Plaint likewise

The which cause was ent<sup>r</sup> from Court to Court until this present Court and now here at this day v<sup>r</sup>  
v<sup>r</sup> 28<sup>r</sup> day of November Anno Domini 1701 came ad welte<sup>r</sup> said Defendant by his said  
att<sup>r</sup> also v<sup>r</sup> aff<sup>r</sup> plaint by Richard Maelkin his attorney v<sup>r</sup> said cause standing for him  
The plaintiff said v<sup>r</sup> v<sup>r</sup> Richard Maelkin v<sup>r</sup> said Plaintiff v<sup>r</sup> said cause was given v<sup>r</sup>  
Kent v<sup>r</sup> Emanuel Friend of Kent County stands attache<sup>r</sup> to answer unto James Williams of  
Essex of Great Britain upon his cause.

And Whereupon v<sup>r</sup> said James by Richard Maelkin his attorney said wherev<sup>r</sup> v<sup>r</sup> 29 day of  
April Anno Domini 1700 in Kent County within the jurisdiction of his Court a certaine comuni-  
cation was had and made between v<sup>r</sup> said Emanuel Friend and a certayne Richard Gill off-  
concerning v<sup>r</sup> sale of a certayne Mare and upon v<sup>r</sup> communication soe as aff<sup>r</sup> no body had  
between v<sup>r</sup> said Emanuel Friend and v<sup>r</sup> said Richard Gill It was then & there concurred  
upon v<sup>r</sup> between v<sup>r</sup> said Emanuel Friend and the said Richard Gill that in consideration  
that the said Emanuel Friend would well & faithfully pay unto v<sup>r</sup> said James v<sup>r</sup> sume of  
four hundred pounds of v<sup>r</sup> due when he deants afterwards required that then he v<sup>r</sup> said  
Richard Gill would sell and deliver unto him v<sup>r</sup> said Emanuel Friend a certayne mare and  
pertaining and belonging to v<sup>r</sup> said Richard Gill and v<sup>r</sup> said James in fact faith that v<sup>r</sup>  
said Richard Gill trusling to v<sup>r</sup> said promise of v<sup>r</sup> said Emanuel Friend for payment  
of v<sup>r</sup> said 400<sup>r</sup> due unto v<sup>r</sup> said James, he v<sup>r</sup> said Richard Gill did sell and deliver unto v<sup>r</sup>  
said Emanuel Friend v<sup>r</sup> aff<sup>r</sup> mare and v<sup>r</sup> said further in fact faith that he trusling to v<sup>r</sup>  
said promise and assumption of v<sup>r</sup> aff<sup>r</sup> Emanuel Friend he did execute and acquit the said  
Richard Gill from v<sup>r</sup> said sume of 400<sup>r</sup> of v<sup>r</sup> due from v<sup>r</sup> said Richard to him v<sup>r</sup> said  
James and did take and accept v<sup>r</sup> said Emanuel Friend for payment of v<sup>r</sup> said 400<sup>r</sup> of  
v<sup>r</sup> said James and did take and accept v<sup>r</sup> said Emanuel Friend upon himself v<sup>r</sup> said sume and unto v<sup>r</sup>  
said James In consideration whereof v<sup>r</sup> said Emanuel Friend upon himself v<sup>r</sup> said sume and unto v<sup>r</sup>  
said James v<sup>r</sup> said he faithfully promised that he v<sup>r</sup> said Emanuel Friend v<sup>r</sup> said  
sume of 400<sup>r</sup> of v<sup>r</sup> due unto v<sup>r</sup> said James when he deants afterwards required would well & truly  
pay v<sup>r</sup> said 400<sup>r</sup> of v<sup>r</sup> due unto v<sup>r</sup> said James his promise and assumption v<sup>r</sup> said 400<sup>r</sup> of v<sup>r</sup> due unto v<sup>r</sup>  
said James notwithstanding v<sup>r</sup> said Emanuel Friend v<sup>r</sup> said promise and assumption v<sup>r</sup> said James in that behalfe craftily & v<sup>r</sup> v<sup>r</sup>  
scotting contriving v<sup>r</sup> fraudulently designing him v<sup>r</sup> said James in that behalfe craftily & v<sup>r</sup>  
to defraud & deprive v<sup>r</sup> said sume of four hundred pounds of v<sup>r</sup> due unto v<sup>r</sup> said James and  
according to his v<sup>r</sup> said promise and assumption he hath not paid although often demanded but  
v<sup>r</sup> said James to pay hath done v<sup>r</sup> and refused and still doth refuse & unjustly detaine to v<sup>r</sup> v<sup>r</sup>  
v<sup>r</sup> damage of v<sup>r</sup> said James 400<sup>r</sup> of v<sup>r</sup> due and thereupon he bringeth this suit,

Markin v<sup>r</sup> 29<sup>r</sup> 1701 Richd Gill