

Novem. Court 1701

Wm. Smith Esq. Robert Esq. in evidence on behalf of James Williams at the Tail of Emanuel House

John Jarvis Esq. Solicitor in Law. The humble petition of John Jarvis of Kent County and humbly sheweth that your petitioner is a poor indigent person and that he cannot get his living... Prays your worshipps charity towards his maintenance and shall pray that the which petition being read by the Court duly considered it was thereupon ordered that the petitioner John Jarvis have a maintenance allowed him out of the County according to his petition

Robert Smith proved an au. S. Griffith Jones Dec. for 100. due one hundred pounds of 100. Edward Rush having entered into Recognizance for his person & appeared at this Court and being now solemnly called appeared not but made default

John Hollisworth's Recognizance viz. John Hollisworth you own your self to stand Indebted to our Sovereign Lord the King in the sum of 1000 pounds Ster. to be paid upon your goods & chattels lands & tenements if you do not make your personal appearance at the next Court to be held for Kent County taken before me in Whittington Jan. 30. 1701. And the said John Hollisworth being solemnly called appeared but made default and but soon afterwards appeared

But the said John Hollisworth being presented by the Grand jury was followed viz. Wed. off the Grand jury did present John Hollisworth Jun. of some hundred for that he the said Hollisworth hath a stolen horse in his possession that is branded and supposed to belong to William Burrows of said hundred and the which said horse was endorsed by the said Burrows

The which said offence was framed into a bill of Indictment as follows viz. The Jurors for our Sovereign Lord the King that now is for the Body of Kent County upon their oaths doo present John Hollisworth of Kent County Jun. for that he the said John the 25 day of Novem. Anno Dom. 1701 had some hundred in Kent County within the jurisdiction of this Court had a stole horse in his possession that is branded & supposed to be the horse of a certain William Burrows of the said County of Kent and against the forms of the act of Assembly in that behalf provided And the said John Hollisworth having made a motion to the Court & prayed that he might be admitted Council which was granted

And it was thereupon ordered that the said John have Council assigned him John Hollisworth And the said Defendant by Michael Carter his Council assigned com. Defendants & forced injuries in the name of the King & saith that the within alleged offence is not good and sufficient in Law to cause the said Defendant to answer the Demour. for the same all which he is ready to aver therefore pray Judgment

The which Indictment & Demour. being read by the Court heard & perused & considered & maturely argued it was therefore considered by the Court that the said bill of Indictment in that manner & forms drawn is not sufficient to cause the said Defendant John Hollisworth to answer