

Novem. Court 1700

The said James of said three hundred foot of plank out of his possession lost, which said plank
off towards that is to say at Chester River and within jurisdiction of the said court the day of March
anno Dom. 1698. by finding came to the hands and possession of the said Hugh Marsh and also of the said Hugh Knowlton
of said plank to be the proper plank of the said James and to him to belong and portaine yet the said Hugh Knowlton
devising and fraudulently intending the said James in this behalf craftily and subtilly to deceive and defraud
six hundred foot of white oak plank altho often afterwards demanded unto the said James he hath not
delivered but the said James to deliver he hath as yet not done and refuses to pay Damages of the said James
Wattson Twelve hundred pounds of good £. thereupon he brings this Suit Markler app. p. Doct. R. Doct.
James Wattson And the said Defendant by Michael Earle his Attorney comes and defends the said injury which
Hugh Marsh And demands in Law to the said Plaintiff declaration and
that he was possessed with three hundred foot of white oak plank of the said James of six hundred
pounds of good £. which out of his possession he lost, and that afterwards by finding said plank came into the
hands and possession of said Defendant, and that the said Defendant refuses to deliver the said plank six hundred
foot of white oak plank altho often demanded and being directly contrary to the rules of pleading and said
Doct. having neither certainly nor verily been therein for which reason the said Defendant prays Judgment
of action &c.

And the said Plaintiff for replication says his Doct. is good and sufficient because the said Defendant to answer
the said prayer Judgment &c. Markler. p. 92

The which Doct. Demurrer & Replication being read and by the Court heard and fully understood the
Court gives the said Defendant Hugh Marsh Judgment against the said Plaintiff for a nonsuit

Therefore it is considered by the Court here viz. the 25. day of November Anno Dom. 1700
that the said Defendant as to the said action goe thereof without day and it is likewise considered
that the said Defendant Hugh Marsh doe recover from the said Plaintiff James Wattson as well as James
of fifty pounds of good £. for a nonsuit as also of James of one hundred eighty six pounds of good £.
of the said James in Money &c. J. Dordale

Command was given to the Sher. of Kent County the 25. day of June Anno Domini 1700. that he take
John Hollingsworth Dem. of all singular goods chattels and credits of John Hollingsworth late of Kent
County Dec. if he should be found in his bailiwick and him safe to keep so that he have his day before his
his next Justices at the next Court to answer unto Robert Gouldsbrough of a plea that he owes
him the sum of six hundred pounds of good £. &c.
All which next Court viz. the 27. day of Aug. anno Dom. 1700 the day of the return of said
writt came Elias King high Sher. of said County & made return thereof in these words viz. in
expi corpus &c. Elias King Sher.

On which said Day came the said Defendant by Michael Earle his Att. & prays thereof to answer
until the next Court which was granted unto him & the same day was given the said Plaintiff likewise
The which cause being sent from Court to Court until this present Court and now tried at this
day viz. the 28. day of Novem. anno Dom. 1700. came as well as the said Plaintiff by Richard
Markler his att. & also of the said Defendant by his said att. & the said Plaintiff for Freyall

plaintiff Deed. viz. and
John Hollingsworth Dem. of all singular goods chattels and credits of John Hollingsworth
late of Kent County Dec. was summoned to answer unto Robert Gouldsbrough of a plea that
he owes him the sum of six hundred pounds of good £. which from him he unjustly
detaineth &c.

And whereupon the said Robert by Richard Markler his att. & says that whereas the said John
was in his life time att. Saltdale County within the jurisdiction of this Court in the month of June Anno
Domini