

For all writs Insufficiency, Uncertainty and Error in the Declaration above said and proceedings thereupon
= upon that it praye that Judg-nt may be stay'd and that the Verdict thereupon given may be set aside
wrought And that the said John to writ by the same the writt left may be Restored: Geo: Parker

Thomas Crum an Pet
John Abington D. ff

Verdict for the Pet. The defend- mo: d in Arrest of Judg-nt and proce: d the Record therefor
To witte the Pet dufis: ed as follow: the viz

1 That writ read the D. ff in the first Article of the said Petend. & Record doth affirm: and avouch to the Court
That the Pet's Declaration is vitious, B: rous. Thomas Abington is not in the said Declaration named
Servant Apprentice or factor of the said D. ff. But only Attorney. And that the Court Judg-nt ought
not to be Ent: r'd. The Pet sayth that the word Attorney is much more pertin: nt, Extensio: Spious, full and general
than the word factor is, for a Man cannot be a legal Attorney unless he be impowred by the Constituant either
by matter of Record or by Act and W: d und: r hand and Seal. And soe the Attorney doth fully rep: s: nt his
maker And all acts then don: by the Attorney Relating to the Constituant are binding as if the Constituant
were personally p: s: nt and did the same. And although the D. ff sayth the pow: r of Attornys is limited to
such matters as the State Sum: r: at in the said Petend. & Record. Yet that is a false allegation for that
Attornys as it is well known: are frequently authorized by Special Warrantes to act & do: in all things with
out limitation. Now the word factor is a narrow signification And a factor is s: dom: armed w: authority
und: r the hand and Seal of his Employ: r. But for full answer to this allegation of the D. ff to the Pet sayth
it is plain: That the same is made by the D. ff in great abuse & affront of the Court And m: chly to amuse &
Inveigle the Justice the: reof being a manifest falsehood for that the Pet in the said Declaration doth
set forth the said Thomas Abington to be Attorney & factor for the said John Abington as by the said
Declaration, which being the: reunto read more fully doth app: ar: the: refore Judg-nt upon this Petend
ought not to be stay: d.

2 To the second the Pet sayth that writ read the D. ff p: ends that it is not set forth in the Declaration
that Thomas Abington att any time before and now long had used to buy and sell g: r for or in the
name of the D. ff. To this the Pet sayth that in the Declaration he hath set forth that John Abington
being a Trad: r in the Province did in the y: ar: 1608. On: thousand six hundred & about eight Constit: t
appoint Thomas Abington to buy & sell and Act in all Cases whatso: ev: r as the proper Attorney
of the said John And that the goods m: nion: d in the Declaration were deliv: r: d to the said Thomas
Abington as the Attorney & factor of the said John and for the proper account of the said John w: h
was fully sufficient for the Pet to set forth and he had no: need to mention that Thomas Abington
used to buy and sell for the D. ff. The setting forth of such usage being only requisite in case of a
factor without Letter of Attorney. But not in the Case att Bar: r.

3. Whereas it is alleg: d that it is not set forth in the Declaration that Thomas Abington did
promise in the name of John Abington or that he used the name of the said John in the bargain. The
Pet answer: d that it is said in the Declaration that Thomas Abington the Attorney and factor of John
Abington Petend of Thomas Crumman upon the proper account of the said John On: Pur: wigge of the
price of two hundred and fifty pounds of Tobacco And two hundred and fifty pounds of Tobacco
He promised to pay in goods att five: fourthings p: pound, so: that the whole proceeding of the
bargain: being set forth to be don: by Thomas Abington as the Attorney & factor of the said
John Abington and for the proper account of the said John, it must needs be employ: d that John
Abington was nam: d, though it be not att all materiall whether he was or no:.

4. Whereas it is said by the D. ff that it is not set forth in the Declaration that the goods came to the use
of the D. ff. Or that the D. ff did assent to it or that the goods were necessary for the defend: t. The Pet
says that the goods m: nion: d in the Declaration are set forth to be deliv: r: d to Thomas Abington whom