

the title, we conclude that the title is defective and that House Bill 978 is unconstitutional in its failure to comply with Article III, Section 29 of the Constitution of Maryland. *

Very truly yours,
/s/ Francis B. Burch
Attorney General

* It appears that this result was clearly unintended. The courts, however, are powerless to correct such errors by restoring unintentionally omitted language or similarly rewriting a law as passed. Birmingham v. Board of Public Works, 249 Md. 443 (1968); Balenson v. Maryland Airport Auth., 235 Md. 490 (1969).

House Bill No. 983 - Baltimore City; Sewer and Drain
Bonds

AN ACT concerning

Baltimore City - Sewer and Drain Bond Issue

FOR the purpose of authorizing the Mayor and City Council of Baltimore to create a debt, and to issue and sell its certificates of indebtedness as evidence thereof, to an amount not exceeding Ten Million Dollars (\$10,000,000.00), the proceeds derived from the sale of the certificates of indebtedness to be used for the purpose of enlarging, extending, altering, modernizing and improving the sanitary sewerage and storm water drainage systems of Baltimore City, including, but not limited to, the construction of additional sewage disposal plants, pumping stations and other appurtenances, the alteration, enlargement, modernization and improvement of existing sewage disposal plants, pumping stations and other appurtenances, and the acquisition by purchase or condemnation of any and all sanitary and storm water sewers, sewage disposal plants, pumping stations and other appurtenances, as well as of any and all land and property, and of any right, interest, franchise, easement or privilege therein, as may be necessary for any or all of the above mentioned purposes, and doing any and all things necessary, proper or expedient in connection with or pertaining to any or all of the matters or things hereinbefore mentioned, and any or all of said work or acquisition of property may be done either within or outside of the boundary lines of