

November 1734

To Anna... the right Honourable the Lord Proprietary in the  
Sum of many pounds Current money of the province to be made  
and levied on his body goods and chattels Lands and Tenements to the  
use of this said Lordship his heirs and Successors conditionally that  
if certain Lydia Chuk shall not make her personall appearance  
at the next Assizes to be held for the said Kent County then and  
there to give her evidence on his Lordship behalf against a  
certain Sarah Manning and Negro Harkey wherewith the same  
William Woodland acknowledges himself to be content

His Lordship  
Sarah Hurley  
Kent County J. — The Jurors for the right Honourable the Lord Proprietary  
that now is for Kent County and upon their oath do present that  
Sarah Hurley late of St. Pauls Parish in the County of Spenser the tenth  
day of April Anno Domini seventeen hundred thirty & four at the Spring  
assizes in the County and within the Jurisdiction of this Court did commit forni-  
cation with a person to the Jurors and unknown and did permit the  
same unknown person then and there to have carnal knowledge  
of her body and to beget then and there a bastard child on the  
body of her the same Sarah to the high displeasure of Almighty  
God to the evil example of all others in such case offending  
contrary to the peace of the said Lord Proprietary his good rule and  
Government and against the form of the Act of Assembly in that  
case made and provided

on the back of the same Indulment was endorsed as follows  
a true Bill by Saml Jockey Freeman

and now here at this Court to wit at Kent County at the Court  
house in the Town of Milledgeville in said County on the third Monday in  
November being the nineteenth day of said Month Anno Domini one  
thousand seven hundred and thirty four came the requestion  
the Lord Proprietary by his attorney and prays that the said Sarah  
may to the Indulment and answer

and the said Sarah in her proper person comes and appears to  
the same and saith that she cannot deny the Indulment  
and so as she against her brought nor can she say but that  
she is guilty of the fornication above upon her imposed as  
by the said Indulment it is alleged and thereupon she  
submits to the Court. Therefore it is considered by the  
Justices here to wit at Kent County at the Court house in the