retail sales tax act, the transfer of [[assests]] assets of a sole proprietorship or a partnership to a newly-created corporation in return for stock of the corporation.

May 31, 1974.

Honorable John Hanson Briscoe Speaker of the House of Delegates State House Annapolis, Maryland 21404

Dear Mr. Speaker:

In accordance with Article II, Section 17 of the Maryland Constitution, I have today vetoed House Bill 126.

The title of the bill indicates that its purpose is to provide an exemption from the Retail Sales Tax Act for the transfer of assets of a sole proprietorship or a partnership to a newly-created corporation in return for stock of the corporation. In the legislative process, the bill was amended to provide exemptions for six specific capital transactions. These exemptions are not reflected in the title of the bill and therefore make it unconstitutionally misleading.

The Attorney General has advised me that House Bill 126 is in contravention of Article III, Section 29 of the Maryland Constitution and for that reason is unconstitutional. A copy of the Attorney General's Opinion is attached to and should be considered a part of this Message.

For this reason, I believe that House Bill 126 must be vetoed.

Sincerely,
/s/ Marvin Mandel
Governor

Letter from State Law Department on House Bill 126

May 29, 1974.

The Honorable Marvin Mandel Governor of Maryland State House Annapolis, Maryland 21404

Re: House Bill 126

Dear Governor Mandel:

House Bill 126 in its introductory form was titled