

The President announced the veto was sustained by roll call as follows:

Affirmative—None

Negative

Messrs.—

President, Mrs. Abrams, Bailey, Bauman, Bertorelli, Bishop, Blount, Boyer, Bozick, Byrnes, Clark, Conroy, Coolahan, Curran, Dalton, Emanuel, Finney, Goodman, Hall, Hodges, Hoyer, Lapidés, Lipin, Malkus, McGuirk, Mrs. Nock, Pascal, Pine, Mrs. Schweinhaut, Smelser, Snyder, Staszak, Staten, Steinberg, Stone, Thomas, Mrs. Welcome, Wilson.

Total—38

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT

June 1, 1973.

Honorable William S. James
President of the Senate
State House
Annapolis, Maryland

Dear Mr. President:

In accordance with Article II, Section 17, of the Maryland Constitution, I have today vetoed Senate Bill 958.

This bill reduces the number of shareholders required before a professional corporation may adopt a corporate name not containing the name of a shareholder.

The Attorney General has advised me that Senate Bill 958 has a defective title and is, therefore, in violation of Article III, Section 29, of the Maryland Constitution. For the reasons given on the attached copy of the Attorney General's opinion, which is to be considered a part of this message, I believe this bill must be vetoed.

Sincerely,

MARVIN MANDEL,
Governor.

Which was read.

Letter from Attorney General on Senate Bill No. 958

May 23, 1973.

The Honorable Marvin Mandel
Governor of Maryland
State House
Annapolis, Maryland

Re: Senate Bill 958

Dear Governor Mandel:

The title to this bill is unconstitutionally misleading. After referring to the repeal and reenactment of Article 23, Section 440(b) of the Maryland Code, it recites that the purpose of the bill is "to provide for a reduction in the number of minimum shareholders for the formation of a Professional Association from five (5) to four (4) shareholders".