

provision of Art. 7, §18. Sec. 18 deals with appeals with respect to arbitration and award. Most of its language deals with appeals from final judgments and is thus covered by §12-301. The particular provision contained in paragraph (9) might authorize an appeal from an interlocutory judgment, and thus is included here. Art. 7, §18 is proposed for repeal; see Maietta v. Greenfield, 267 Md. 287, 297A. 2d. 244 (1972).

Sec. 12-306 defines the court in which review may be sought.

The language added in proposed paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) is contained in the last paragraph of Art. 5, §7, which is recommended for repeal.

Proposed paragraph (8) covers appeals from interlocutory orders now covered by Art. 5, §3. Appeals from final orders now authorized by that section are provided for in §12-301.

Subsection (e) of Art. 5, §7 has been transferred to §12-304, dealing with contempt cases.

Subsection (h) of Art. 5, §7 is proposed for repeal since identical language is contained in Art. 5, §1A, included here as §12-303(a). The last paragraph of subsection (h) has been inserted in paragraphs (1), (2), and (4). The only other changes made are in style.

**SEC. 12-304. (A) APPEALS IN CONTEMPT CASES; SCOPE OF REVIEW.**

ANY PERSON MAY APPEAL FROM ANY ORDER OR JUDGMENT PASSED TO PRESERVE THE POWER OR VINDICATE THE DIGNITY OF THE COURT AND ADJUDGING HIM IN CONTEMPT OF COURT, INCLUDING AN INTERLOCUTORY ORDER, REMEDIAL IN NATURE, ADJUDGING ANY PERSON IN CONTEMPT, WHETHER OR NOT A PARTY TO THE ACTION.

(B) EXCEPTION. THIS SECTION DOES NOT APPLY TO AN ADJUDICATION OF CONTEMPT FOR VIOLATION OF AN INTERLOCUTORY ORDER FOR THE PAYMENT OF ALIMONY.

REVISOR'S NOTE: This section is basically Art. 5,