

PATENTED LAND IS NOT REQUIRED TO BE STATED BY THE PATENTED NAME IN A DECLARATION IN AN ACTION AT LAW. IT MAY BE DESCRIBED BY ABUTTALS, COURSE AND DISTANCE, OR A NAME BY WHICH IT WAS ACQUIRED. THE DESCRIPTION SHALL BE CERTAIN ENOUGH TO IDENTIFY THE LAND.

(B) PROOF REQUIRED.

WHEN TITLE OF PATENTED LAND IS QUESTIONED, A PARTY IS NOT REQUIRED TO PROVE THAT THE LAND WAS PATENTED. A PATENT SHALL BE PRESUMED IN FAVOR OF THE PARTY SHOWING A TITLE OTHERWISE GOOD.

(C) ACTS OF PARTY.

ACTS OF EXCLUSIVE USER AND OWNERSHIP ARE ADMISSIBLE TO PROVE POSSESSION. ACTUAL EVIDENCE OF ENCLOSURE IS NOT NECESSARY FOR THIS PROOF.

REVISOR'S NOTE: This section is new language derived from Art. 75, §§ 11 and 33. These sections are combined into a single section and subdivided because of their related subject matter. Changes are made in language and style.

SEC. 10-910. NEGLIGENCE NOT IMPUTED TO INFANT.

IN AN ACTION ON BEHALF OF AN INFANT TO RECOVER FOR DEATH, PERSONAL INJURY, OR PROPERTY DAMAGE THE NEGLIGENCE OF THE PARENT OR CUSTODIAN OF THE INFANT MAY NOT BE IMPUTED TO THE INFANT.

REVISOR'S NOTE: This section is new language derived from Art. 75, §2. This section is removed from Art. 75 and placed among the Miscellaneous Rules of this subtitle as a more logical placement for its subject matter because of its relationship to a cause of action. Changes are made in style.

GENERAL REVISOR'S NOTE:

The Commission to Revise the Annotated Code, in compiling Title 10 of the Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article, concluded that certain provisions of present law originally allocated to Title 10 are repetitious, obsolete, or more properly allocable elsewhere. Accordingly, these provisions are not embodied in Title 10. Their proposed treatment is as