BETWEEN HIS COUNTY OF RESIDENCE AND THE COURT OF WHICH HE IS A MEMBER.

REVISOR'S NOTE: Subsection (a) is adapted from the last sentence of Art. 26, §144 (a). While this statute applies presently only to the District Court, a similar policy exists as to the judges of other trial courts; see the second sentence of Art. 26, §47(c), as enacted by Ch. 343, Acts of 1972. Sec. 144(a) is more explicit than §47(d), so the language of the former has been followed. The provision is made applicable to all courts, since there seems to be no reason why appellate judges should not also be reimbursed for expenses incurred on court business.

Subsection (b) contains the basic provisions of the second sentence of Art. 26, §47(c).

Paragraph 1 of subsection (c) is required because of the provisions of §1-608 (Art. 26, §151) requiring the State to bear all costs of the District Court. Paragraph 2 is the exception now contained in Art. 26, §47(c). It applies to circuit court and Supreme Bench judges by virtue of the definitions in §1-101(b), (c), and (d). Paragraph 3 applies to appellate judges who do not live in Anne Arundel County. It applies the general rule prohibiting reimbursement of State employees for normal commuting expenses.

SEC. 1-707. DISTRICT COURT - HEALTH OR HOSPITALIZATION BENEFITS - JUDGES OF THE DISTRICT COURT.

A JUDGE OF THE DISTRICT COURT WHO WAS CONTINUED IN OFFICE AS A JUDGE OF THAT COURT PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS OF ARTICLE IV, §41—I(G) OF THE CONSTITUTION, AND WHO ON JULY 4, [[1972]] 1971 WAS A PARTICIPANT IN A GROUP HEALTH OR GROUP HOSPITALIZATION PLAN PROVIDED BY A LOCAL SUBDIVISION, AND WHO WITHIN SIX MONTHS FROM JULY 5, 1971, ELECTED TO REMAIN A MEMBER OF THAT PLAN, MAY CONTINUE AS A MEMBER OF THE PLAN. IN THIS EVENT, THE LOCAL SUBDIVISION SHALL CONTINUE TO MAKE ON BEHALF OF THE JUDGE ANY CONTRIBUTIONS TO THE PLAN REQUIRED BY ITS TERMS OR BY