

APPLICATION HAS BEEN MADE TO OBTAIN TELEPHONIC AND TELEGRAPHIC COMMUNICATIONS ON THE SAME INSTRUMENT OR FOR THE SAME PERSON AND IF A PRIOR APPLICATION EXISTS THE APPLICANT SHALL DISCLOSE ITS CURRENT STATUS.

(D) IDENTIFICATION.

THE APPLICATION AND ANY ORDER ISSUED UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL IDENTIFY AS FULLY AS POSSIBLE THE PARTICULAR TELEPHONE OR TELEGRAPH LINE FROM WHICH THE INFORMATION IS TO BE OBTAINED AND THE PURPOSE.

(E) EXAMINATION BY COURT.

THE COURT SHALL EXAMINE UPON OATH OR AFFIRMATION THE APPLICANT AND ANY WITNESS THE APPLICANT DESIRES TO PRODUCE OR THE COURT REQUIRES TO BE PRODUCED.

[[(F) DURATION OF ORDERS.

THE AUTHORIZATION TO INTERCEPT SHALL BE EXECUTED AS SOON AS PRACTICABLE, AND SHALL BE CONDUCTED TO MINIMIZE THE INTERCEPTION OF COMMUNICATIONS NOT OTHERWISE SUBJECT TO INTERCEPTION. AN ORDER ISSUED UNDER THIS SUBTITLE SHALL TERMINATE AUTOMATICALLY WHEN THE COMMUNICATION DESCRIBED IN THE ORDER OR WHEN THE AUTHORIZED OBJECTIVE OF THE ORDER HAS BEEN FIRST OBTAINED. AN ORDER MAY NOT CONTINUE FOR MORE THAN 30 DAYS UNLESS RENEWED PURSUANT TO SUBSECTION (G).

(G) RENEWAL OF ORDER.

AN ORDER MAY BE RENEWED FOR ONE ADDITIONAL PERIOD NOT TO EXCEED 30 DAYS PURSUANT TO THE PROVISIONS AND LIMITATIONS OF SUBSECTION (F) UPON APPLICATION OF THE OFFICER WHO SECURED THE ORIGINAL ORDER TO THE COURT WHICH ISSUED IT.]]

(F) DURATION OF ORDER.

NO ORDER ISSUED UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL BE EFFECTIVE FOR LONGER THAN 30 DAYS.

(G) RENEWAL OF ORDER.

UPON APPLICATION OF THE OFFICER WHO SECURED THE ORIGINAL ORDER, THE COURT WHICH ISSUED THE ORDER MAY RENEW OR CONTINUE THE ORDER FOR AN ADDITIONAL PERIOD NOT TO EXCEED 30 DAYS.

REVISOR'S NOTE: In State v. Siegal, 266 Md. 256