

was intended to give Maryland courts jurisdiction to the full extent permitted by the Constitution. Van Wagenberg v. Van Wagenberg, 241 Md. 154 (1966).

The only other changes made are in style.

SEC. 6-104. STAY OR DISMISSAL OF ACTION WHICH SHOULD BE HEARD IN ANOTHER ~~[[FORM]]~~ FORUM.

IF A COURT FINDS THAT IN THE INTEREST OF SUBSTANTIAL JUSTICE AN ACTION SHOULD BE HEARD IN ANOTHER ~~[[FORM]]~~ FORUM, THE COURT MAY STAY OR DISMISS THE ACTION IN WHOLE OR IN PART ON ANY CONDITIONS IT CONSIDERS JUST.

REVISOR'S NOTE: This section presently appears as Art. 75, §98.

The section is probably unnecessary in a technical sense, as the common law doctrine of forum non conveniens is well established. The section is retained, however, to avoid the appearance of change.

The only other changes made are in style.

SUBTITLE 2. VENUE.

SEC. 6-201. GENERAL RULE.

(A) IN GENERAL.

SUBJECT TO THE PROVISIONS OF §§ 6-202 AND 6-203 AND UNLESS OTHERWISE PROVIDED BY LAW, A CIVIL ACTION SHALL BE BROUGHT IN A COUNTY WHERE THE DEFENDANT RESIDES, CARRIES ON A REGULAR BUSINESS, IS EMPLOYED, OR HABITUALLY ENGAGES IN A VOCATION. IN ADDITION, A CORPORATION ALSO MAY BE SUED WHERE IT MAINTAINS ITS PRINCIPAL OFFICES IN THE STATE.

(B) MULTIPLE DEFENDANTS.

IF THERE IS MORE THAN ONE DEFENDANT, AND THERE IS NO SINGLE VENUE APPLICABLE TO ALL DEFENDANTS, UNDER SUBSECTION (A), ALL MAY BE SUED IN A COUNTY IN WHICH ANY ONE OF THEM COULD BE SUED, OR IN THE COUNTY WHERE THE CAUSE OF ACTION AROSE.

REVISOR'S NOTE: This title is organized pragmatically, with §6-201 covering all