

(3) THE PROPORTION OF THE VOTES CAST IN REFERENDUM IN FAVOR OF THE DISCONTINUANCE TO THE TOTAL NUMBERS OF VOTES CAST;

(4) THE APPROXIMATE WEALTH AND INCOME OF THE LAND OCCUPIERS OF THE DISTRICT;

(5) THE PROBABLE EXPENSE OF CARRYING ON EROSION CONTROL OPERATIONS WITHIN THE DISTRICT; AND

(6) OTHER ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL FACTORS RELEVANT TO THE DETERMINATION, HAVING DUE REGARD TO THE LEGISLATIVE FINDINGS SET FORTH IN §8-102.

HOWEVER, THE COMMITTEE MAY NOT DETERMINE THAT THE CONTINUED OPERATION OF A DISTRICT IS ADMINISTRATIVELY FEASIBLE, UNLESS AT LEAST A MAJORITY OF THE VOTES CAST IN THE REFERENDUM ARE CAST IN FAVOR OF CONTINUANCE.

(E) COMMITTEE SHALL RECORD DETERMINATION; CERTIFY APPROVAL.

IF THE COMMITTEE DETERMINES THAT THE CONTINUED OPERATION OF THE DISTRICT IS ADMINISTRATIVELY FEASIBLE, IT SHALL RECORD THE DETERMINATION AND DENY THE PETITION. IF THE COMMITTEE DETERMINES THAT THE CONTINUED OPERATION OF THE DISTRICT IS NOT ADMINISTRATIVELY FEASIBLE, IT SHALL RECORD THE DETERMINATION AND SHALL CERTIFY IT TO THE SUPERVISORS OF THE DISTRICT.

REVISOR'S NOTE: This section presently appears as Art. 66C, §101(a) and (b) of the Code. Subsection (a) is revised to allow 25 or more land occupiers to petition for termination. New language derived from §101(e) is added in subsection (a) for organizational purposes. The present provision of §101(e) that prohibits the committee from making determinations on any petition is omitted here as unnecessary. The committee cannot make a determination unless it holds a referendum. In subsection (c), the present provision making all land occupiers eligible to vote is proposed for deletion as unnecessary. Since only land occupiers may vote, it is implicit that all land occupiers may do so in the absence of some contrary provision.

In subsection (d) the present provision that