

evidence before administrative agencies has been equated with that phrase. In Montgomery County v. National Capital Realty Corp., 267 Md. 364 (1972), the Court pointed out that "administrative agencies are not generally bound by the technical common-law rules of evidence although they must observe the basic rules of fairness as to parties appearing before them". The court observed that even hearsay evidence could be admitted in contested administrative proceedings.

Cross reference: As to right to counsel, see §3-216.

SEC. 3-215. DETERMINATION BY ARBITRATORS.

(A) DETERMINATION BY MAJORITY OF ARBITRATORS.

THE MAJORITY OF THE ARBITRATORS MAY DETERMINE ANY QUESTION AND RENDER A FINAL AWARD.

(B) DETERMINATION BY REMAINING ARBITRATORS.

IF AN ARBITRATOR FOR ANY REASON CEASES TO ACT DURING THE COURSE OF THE ARBITRATION HEARING, THE REMAINING ARBITRATORS OR ARBITRATOR APPOINTED TO ACT AS NEUTRAL, MAY CONTINUE WITH A HEARING AND THE DETERMINATION OF THE CONTROVERSY.

REVISOR'S NOTE: This section is new language derived from Art. 7, §5(d). Reference should also be made to §3-213(b).

SEC. 3-216. RIGHT TO BE REPRESENTED BY ATTORNEY; WHEN WAIVER INEFFECTIVE.

(A) REPRESENTATION BY ATTORNEY.

A PARTY HAS THE RIGHT TO BE REPRESENTED BY AN ATTORNEY AT ANY PROCEEDING OR HEARING UNDER THIS SUBTITLE.

(B) WHEN WAIVER INEFFECTIVE.

A WAIVER OF THE RIGHT TO BE REPRESENTED BY AN ATTORNEY PRIOR TO THE PROCEEDING OR HEARING IS INEFFECTIVE.

REVISOR'S NOTE: This section presently appears as