

petition. One, concerning parking on one side of the street only was of much concern to residents who leave cars parked in front of their homes. It was requested that the hours of no parking be limited to those from 7:30 a.m. to 4:30 p.m. and to allow parking in the evening and on Sundays. It was also requested that radar checks be made more frequently on the street to slow down speeders. A resident advised the hours between 6—10 p.m. were the best times to conduct these radar checks.

Council advised the group that the problems on the street would be given fair and impartial study and corrective measures taken where necessary. Councilman Martin stated he felt the Council was aware of what was wanted and needed and thanked the group for coming up to voice their opinions.

Glen Avenue Annexation Project—Resolution 120

The Board of Election Supervisors reported that results of a special election held earlier in the day for the annexation of an area known as the Glen Avenue Annex were available and that the project had been defeated at the polls by a vote of 122 For and 158 Against the annexation. Mr. William P. Long announced that one vote did not register in the voting machine. Because of the defeat of the annexation proposal, no action was taken on Resolution No. 120.

In answer to a query from Councilman Seidel, Mr. Long, who is Chairman of the Board of Election Supervisors, advised that voters were registered properly and that of the total eligible, almost all had appeared. There were six who wanted to vote but could not because they did not live in the area.

Ordinance No. 1030—2nd Reading—Zoning Code Amendment—Animal Shelters

City Solicitor advised there were no changes or amendments to the Ordinance as presented and a motion passing it for second and final reading was made and seconded by Seidel and Powell.

Ordinance No. 1031—2nd Reading Permit for Animal Shelter—Wicomico Humane Society

City Solicitor reported no changes in the Ordinance as amended and originally presented at the previous meeting. No objections or discussion was offered and a motion passing it for second and final reading was made and seconded by Seidel and Powell.

Appearance—Oscar Carey re Decision on Revenue—A & P Lot

Mr. Oscar Carey appeared before Council to ask for reconsideration of a decision not to share income from meters established on property owned by Larmar Corp. based on terms of a lease agreement entered into with that company.

Mr. Carey explained he did not blame the City Solicitor or Director of Finance for their interpretation of the Lease Agreement but gave his reasons for requesting a more equitable settlement. He stated Larmar Corp. was approached by the City through the C.C.D.C. for the development of the parking lot in question. He also advised the improvements to the lot were installed by the City but were not at the request or control of Larmar Corp. Further, there was no attempt to designate priority of payment of taxes or improvements in the Lease Agreement and that it had never been anticipated that expenses would exceed revenues.