

Proceedings were thereupon had that the said Joseph Ensor by Judge-
 ment of the same Court did recover his Seisin against the said
 Luke Raven Party hereto of and in the Demanded Premises
 and the said Luke Raven did recover over in Value against the
 common Vouchee whereby a common Recovery of the aforesaid Premises
 hath been had and Suffered Now this Indenture Witnesseth
 that for the settling and declaring the intent and use of the said
 Recovery and for and in consideration of the sum of five Shillings
 Current Money by the said Luke Raven to the said Joseph Ensor
 already in hand paid It is covenanted granted agreed and Declared
 by and between the said Parties to these presents that the common
 Recovery so as aforesaid or in any other manner had and Suffered and
 the Execution thereof from and immediately after the suffering the
 same or any other Recovery or Recoveries of the same Premises was
 meant and intended to be and enure and is hereby declared to be and
 enure ~~and shall be declared to be~~ ^{should and} and that the Recoveries
 therein named and his Heirs shall stand and be seized of and in
 the recovered Premises and every part and Parcel thereof to and
 for the only proper Use and Behoof of the said Luke Raven his Heirs
 and assigns and to or for no other Use intent or purpose whatsoever
 In Witness whereof the Parties to these presents have hereunto inter-
 changeably set their Hands and Affixed their Seals the Day &
 Year first above written

Sealed and Delivered
 in the presence of

[Signature]

John Davidson

[Signature]
 Joseph Ensor

On the Back of the aforesaid Deed was the following

Received on the day and year first within written of and from
 within named Luke Raven the sum of five Shillings Current Money
 being the consideration money within mentioned to be by him paid to

Witness Rogers

[Signature]
 Joseph Ensor

John Davidson

Recorded 30 Sept. 1765

Sides

Vol

This Indenture made the twelfth Day of September in the Year
 four Lord One thousand seven hundred and sixty five Between
 James Hollyday of Queen Anns County in the Province of Maryland
 Gent of the one part and John Puth the younger of Mary his wife
 of the County and Province aforesaid of the other Part Whereas the
 said James Hollyday did at the Provincial Court held at Annapolis

on