

Treasury, and to provide that certain State agencies shall be operated only pursuant to appropriations made in accordance with Sections 32 and 52 of Article 3 of the Constitution or pursuant to the provisions of Sections 1 through 15, inclusive of Article 15A of this Code, title "Budget and Procurement," as amended from time to time.

The Speaker put the question: Shall the bill pass notwithstanding the objections of the Executive?

**Affirmative**

Delegates—

Jacobson, Orlinsky, Waxter, Doctor.

Total—4

**Negative**

Delegates—

Mr. Speaker, Briscoe, Fowler, Boyer, Athey, Thomason, Lipin, Burkhead, Connell, Helms, Allen, Fornos, Anderson, Benner, Compton, Nimmerrichter, Arata, Coolahan, Malone, Alpert, Rynd, Hopkins, Nice, Price, Hinkel, Jensen, Tyler, Hutchinson, Jones, Kardash, Schirano, Einschütz, Evans, Rush, Arnick, D'Anna, Minnick, Dize, Matthews, Burkheimer, Mackie, Dorman, Menes, Mothershead, Banning, Goodman, Hull, King, Montfort, Santangelo, Weile, Aragona, Bagley, Donovan, Giordano, McDonough, Rummage, Hickman, C. M., Hickman, R. O., Virts, Houck, Remsberg, Greer, Hess, Osborne, Scarff, Hargreaves, Bonvegna, Bullock, Dypski, Krysiak, Silk, Walters, Adams, Antonelli, Cassady, Chester, McCarty, Holub, Sarbanes, Burns, Curran, Hergenroeder, Kent, Kricher, McQuade, Mooney, O'Brien, Abramson, Brailey, Dixon, Douglass, Epstein, Lee, Randolph, Abrams, Cardin, Friedman, Resnick, Sklar, Spector, Waxter, Avara, Baumann, Weisengoff, Freeberger, Murphy, Rutkowski, Wyatt, Donaldson, Grumbacher, Hoffman, Wright, Clarke, Cronin, Lady, McInerney, Scott, Whalen, Whitney, Becker, Bell, Blondes, Cook, Maurer, Zander, Aitken, Wiser, Evans, Reed, Sloan, Williams, Matthews, Yingling, Burgess, Warfield, Laws, Long, Aiken. Total—138

*The Speaker announced the veto was sustained.*

**EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT**

Annapolis, Md., May 28, 1969.

Honorable Thomas Hunter Lowe  
Speaker of the House of Delegates  
State House  
Annapolis, Maryland

Dear Mr. Speaker:

In accordance with Article II, Section 17, of the Maryland Constitution, I have today vetoed House Bill 964 and am returning it to you.

This Bill authorizes the County Commissioners of Calvert County to appoint, with the approval of the County legislative delegation, a zoning hearing officer or board.

The County Commissioners of Calvert County have written to me, requesting that I veto the Bill. Their objections to the Bill, as set forth in their letter, are as follows:

"House Bill 964 appears unnecessary and to the knowledge of the County Commissioners, this type of Board has never been requested by any Calvert County citizen. The effect of the Board would be a duplication of the functions now performed by the County Commissioners. Under Subsection (a), the required approval of the County Legislative Delegation for a Hearing Examiner or Board would, de facto, place the ultimate control of this Board in the hands of the Legislative branch rather than the Executive branch where it belongs. Subsection (f) provides that the Board of County Commissioners may veto on any application heard by the Hearing Examiner or Board 'without the necessity of either having attended the public hearing or