

On motion of Mr. Morsell,

Ordered, That the committee on Inspections, enquire into the expediency of requiring the inspectors of tobacco in the city of Baltimore, to advertise annually, for proposals to furnish the tobacco warehouses in the city of Baltimore with nails; and also, as to the practicability of using cut nails, and that they report by bill or otherwise.

On motion of Mr. Morsell,

Leave was granted the committee on Inspections, to bring in a bill to "provide for the application of all monies arising from the storing of tobacco in the warehouses in the city of Baltimore, over and above the amount required to meet the expenses of said warehouses, and the debt already created on account of the same."

On motion of Mr. Purnell,

Leave was granted the Worcester and Somerset county delegations, to bring in a bill to exempt non-resident tax payers of Worcester and Somerset counties from the payment of ferriage in certain cases.

On motion of Mr. Hollyday, of Kent,

Leave was granted Messrs. Hollyday, of Kent, Long and Hollyday, of Cecil, to bring in a bill relating to justices of the peace, constables and judicial process.

Mr. Bowie submitted the following resolution:

Resolved by the General Assembly of Maryland, That John H. T. Magruder, late Librarian of the State Library, be and he is hereby allowed the same free access to, and use of the State Library, as other privileged persons are allowed:

Which was read the first, and by a special order the second time, "assented to," and sent to the Senate.

Mr. Ware submitted the following orders:

*By the House of Delegates,*

*February 13th, 1845.*

Ordered, That the reply of the President of the Chesapeake and Ohio Canal company to the orders of this House, of January 31st, are insufficient in the following particulars:

1. The reply does not answer the interrogatory in the first of said orders, inasmuch as it does not give the "names of the officers or other persons" therein demanded; which omission is not supplied by Document "U," referred to in said reply.

2. The reply to the second of the aforesaid orders, is unsatisfactory, inasmuch as it does not inform this House what duty the said officers were at the time specified "required by the said company to perform."

3. The reply to the third interrogatory is unsatisfactory, inasmuch as it does not inform this House what compensation was paid to said officers or other persons, "or either of them."

4. The said reply is deficient and unsatisfactory, inasmuch as it mentions a gross sum paid out of the funds of said company to said officers, including "the cost of extra copies, &c.," which were sent