

It is hoped that its return to this house will bring about such an arrangement as will be agreeable to all parties.

Which was read.

On the question being put, will the house assent to said message?

On motion of Mr. Coad,

The yeas and nays were required and appear as follows:

AFFIRMATIVE.

Messrs. Owens	Maccubbin	Graves
Hellen	Bravard	Seidenstricker
Poultney	Selby	Newcomer
Welsh of B.	Spence	Byer
Risteau	Hearn	Gaither
Stansbury	Biser	Waters
Holmes	Simmons	Dade
Lloyd	Firor	Price
Colston	Sutton	Crabbs
Humphreys	Polk	Parke
Foard	Giles	Hook
Heckart	Le Grand	Mr. Speaker—37.
Sprigg		

NEGATIVE.

Messrs. Coad	Bruce	Wilmer
Shaw	Martin	Temples
Constable	Cottman	McPherson
Welch, of K.	Winder	Quynn
Ridgely	Frazier	Sangston
Hammond	Phelps	Thawley
Estep	Tuck	Mason
Dalrymple	Bowie	England
Stevens	Welch of A.	Newman—27

So the message was assented to and sent to the senate.

Mr. Hammond submitted the following message:

By the House of Delegates,

March 11, 1840.

Gentlemen of the Senate:

We have received your message of the 10th instant, in relation to the bill for the regulation of the municipal government of Howard District of Anne Arundel county, and exceedingly regret that the bill, even with the amendments to which we have agreed, cannot meet with your approbation.

The amendment proposed by your honorable body, in relation to a new election for commissioners, was agreed to by this house solely upon the ground, that by yielding so much to the opinions of the senate, a compromise would be effected by which the people of this district, would have the bill in operation as a law. We are certainly satisfied that the people of this district do not desire a second election before the next annual election, and cannot think that the intelligent population of that portion of the State have falsely estimated the amount of taxes to which they will be sub-