

The Speaker put the question: Shall the bill pass notwithstanding the objections of the Executive?

Affirmative

Delegates Bossle, McMillan

Total—2

Negative

Delegates—

Mr. Speaker, Briscoe, Fowler, Boyer, Joiner, Bertier, Duvall, Fallon, Helms, Larrimore, McNulty, Weidemeyer, Benner, Dowell, Linton, Perrin, MacDaniel, Maguire, McCurdy, Medary, Peters, Price, Rush, Spurrier, Staten, Stone, Harrison, Latham, Butler, Long (Chas.), Bennett, Matthews, Merryweather, Spicer, Burkheimer, Connellee, Harris, Conroy, Dentz, Dorman, Emanuel, Goodman, Hart, Marshall, McDonough, Meloy, Roberson, Hickman (C. M.), Risley, Hickman (R.), Pilchard, Byron, Collins, Houck, Remsberg, Virts, Blair, Hardwicke, Hess, Scharff, Blades, Wise, Bullock, Dembowski, Kosakowski, Mrozinski, Silk, Walters, Antonelli, Behounek, Lapides, McCourt, Ray, Acker, Krug, Mooney, O'Connell, O'Malley, Palmisano, Abramson (J.), Abramson (M.), Alpert, Epstein, Mitchell, Young, Friedman (S. J.), Reesnick, Siegel, Stark, Baumann, Baynes, Corrigan, McGuirk, Murphy, Wheatley, Dillon, Grumbacher, Hoffman, Huyett, Spence, Tingle, Anderson, Blondes, Bresler, Cook (E. P.), Doing, Gore, McAuliffe, McInerney, Miller, Moore, Beall, Cook (N. S.), Evans, Reed, See, Williams, Dulany, Magin, Hale, Yingling, Hanna, Warfield, Long (J. J.), Lowe (H. W.), White, Bray, Glotfelty, Groves. Total—129

The Speaker announced the veto was sustained.

House Bill No. 227—Motor Vehicle Operators' Licenses

AN ACT to repeal Section 109 (b) of Article 66½ of the Annotated Code of Maryland (1964 Supplement), title "Motor Vehicles," subtitle "Administration-Registration-Titling," and to enact new Section 109 (b) in lieu thereof, to stand in the place of the section repealed, to provide that an appeal by a person who was denied an operator's license or whose license was cancelled, suspended, or revoked shall automatically operate as a stay.

EXECUTIVE OFFICE

May 4, 1965.

Honorable Marvin Mandel
Speaker of the House of Delegates
State House
Annapolis, Maryland

Dear Mr. Speaker:

I have today vetoed House Bill 227 and, in accordance with the provisions of the State Constitution, I am returning the same to you along with my veto message.

This bill pertains to the filing of an appeal by an individual who is denied a Maryland operator's license or whose license has been cancelled, suspended or revoked. It further provides that when an appeal has been noted, such appeal shall operate as a stay as to the cancellation, suspension or revocation of a driver's permit. It provides that such stay shall operate or be effective for a period not to exceed thirty days after the first day of the next succeeding term of court and it also provides that by an order of the Court, the stay can be extended for an additional thirty days.

It is my feeling that the language of this bill is not compatible