

The vote on making the preamble and resolution submitted by him on the 20th instant, in relation to the issue of two hundred millions of dollars of Government stock, was reconsidered.

On motion of Mr. Causin,

Ordered, That the same be made the order of the day for next Tuesday week.

On motion of Mr. Tuck,

Leave was granted to Messrs. Tuck, Hamilton and Semmes, to bring in a bill to repeal the third section of the act of eighteen hundred and forty, chapter one hundred and forty-three, entitled, an act to make public a road in Prince George's county.

On motion of Mr. Sutton,

Ordered, That it be entered on the Journal, that Mr. Phelps is detained from his seat by indisposition.

On motion of Mr. Roberts,

Leave was granted to Messrs. Roberts, Clemments and Dunbracco, to bring in a bill to make valid instruments of writing acknowledged before, and judgment rendered by Charles Wesley Weaver, Esquire, one the justices of the peace of the State of Maryland, in and for Queen Anne's county.

On motion of Mr. Jarrett,

Leave was granted to Messrs. Jarrett, Yellott, Hopkins and Butler, to bring in a bill authorising the clerk of the Land Office to correct certain errors on record in said office.

On motion of Mr. Brown,

Leave was granted to Messrs. Brown, Lecompte of Carroll and Tuck, to bring in a bill to be entitled, an act relating to fines, penalties and forfeitures, and to informers.

The clerk of the Senate delivered the following messages:

*By the Senate,*

January 21st, 1843.

*Gentlemen of the House of Delegates :*

We have received your message requesting the return of the bill entitled, an act for the preservation and repair of the United States road within the limits of the State of Maryland, and herewith return the same.

By order, Joseph H. Nicholson, Clk.

*By the Senate,*

January 21st, 1843.

*Gentlemen of the House of Delegates :*

We return to your honorable body the preamble and resolutions relating to the Internal Improvement companies, with our dissent from their passage. We are not sufficiently informed, and therefore cannot adopt the axiom of the preamble, that "those who have the control of those works, are compensated for their services beyond their value, and what the necessities of the State justify." The two considerations here placed in juxta-position, seem to have no natural relation to each other, as services both in public and