

class; otherwise it tends to discriminate against persons of the same class who are non-residents of the County or of the State. Since Senate Bill No. 459 in effect would require a license for farm produce and seafood which had been derived from another State, it places a discriminatory burden on interstate commerce and an additional burden on non-residents of the County. Such a condition is in contravention to the commerce clause in Section 8, Article I and the privilege and immunities clause, Section 2, Article IV of the Constitution of the United States.

Because of the discriminatory feature in the exemption, I have serious doubt as to the constitutionality of Senate Bill No. 459, and therefore cannot approve it as to legal form and sufficiency.

Very truly yours,

THOMAS B. FINAN,
Attorney General

TBF:B

Which was read.

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENT

May 3, 1961

Hon. George W. Della
President of the Senate
403 Warren Avenue
Baltimore 30, Maryland

Dear Mr. President:

I am today returning to you Senate Bill No. 544 along with my veto message. This is being done in compliance with Article 2, Section 17 of the Maryland Constitution. This particular bill was designed to authorize the appointment of an additional Circuit Court Judge for the Fourth Judicial Circuit and it further provides that the judge to be appointed be a resident of Washington County.

House Bill No. 484, which also created a new Circuit Court Judgeship in Washington County, has already been approved by me. Therefore, I believe it will be unnecessary to sign this bill which, in effect, would be a duplication of my prior act.

With kindest regards, I remain

Sincerely yours,

(s) J. MILLARD TAWES,
Governor.

JMT/S/ss
enclo.

Which was read.

Senate Bill No. 544—Additional Judge in Fourth Circuit

AN ACT to add new Section 32D to Article 26 of the Annotated Code of Maryland (1957 Edition and 1960 Supplement), title