

The Police Commissioner opposes the proposed Bill because of possible disruption of the routine of the Baltimore City Police Department. Since under the State-wide law there is no limitation on the number of times a case can be removed from one magistrate to another, the Bill might well permit "shopping around" for a magistrate, who tends to favor the particular kind of case involved. The Attorney General advises that he does not feel that there is any practical or constitutional right which is not already fully protected by other provisions of the laws, nor that there is any right of those accused of crime in Baltimore City which would be helped by the removal of the provision.

In view of the recommendations of the Attorney General and the Police Commissioner, in which I concur, I am returning the Bill herewith without my approval.

Respectfully,

THEODORE R. MCKELDIN,

Governor

#### POULTRY

May 7, 1951

Hon. John C. Luber  
Speaker of the House of Delegates  
State House  
Annapolis, Maryland

Dear Mr. Speaker:

House Bill No. 483 extends the State licensing function to a new field, the buying of poultry on credit.

This legislation is far reaching in character and involves an extension of State regulation into new and uncharted fields. After discussion with numerous representatives of the poultry industry and consideration of the various details of the proposed statute, it is my feeling that the subject should be given further careful study as to the need and desirability of the legislation and also as to the regulatory machinery itself. Such caution is dictated especially because of the importance of the poultry industry in a number of our counties.

I am, therefore, returning herewith House Bill No. 483 without my approval.

Respectfully,

THEODORE R. MCKELDIN,

Governor