

Chapter 145 (House Bill 68). The Commission for the Revision of Motor Vehicle Laws recommends that this Bill be vetoed.

Furthermore, the Attorney-General in his opinion, sets forth the objections to this measure in the following memorandum:

"This Bill amends the motor vehicle law to provide that the annual markers which are required on and after April 1st of each year may be used on and after March 1st of each year. It also provides that the Commissioner of Motor Vehicles may issue without charge permits to owners of motor vehicles manufactured prior to the year 1915 for occasional operation of such vehicles on the highway in parades or for other historic or exhibition purposes. There is a further provision relating to the use of tabs instead of the ordinary license plates during the present emergency.

"Under the provisions of sub-section (b) of Section 30 of Chapter 1007, House Bill No. 156, it is provided that the annual markers may not be displayed for more than 15 days prior to the expiration of the registration period, which date of expiration, of course, would be April 1st.

"Section 27 (c) of the above Act provides for the use of tabs or other systems of identification in place of the ordinary markers during the present emergency. There is nothing in the State-wide Code relating to the issuance of the special markers for vehicles manufactured prior to 1915. We have discussed this matter with the Committee that drafted the State-wide Code, and that Committee suggests that Chapter 145, House Bill 68 be not signed because it is a substantial duplication of the provisions of the State-wide Code, and the portions that are not duplications are not necessary."

Because of the reasons hereinbefore set forth, I am vetoing this Bill.

PERISHABLE FOOD PRODUCTS.

Chapter 768 (Senate Bill 165). This measure is identical with a Bill vetoed by me two years ago for reasons set forth in a public message delivered at that time. Because the reasons which I advanced then still hold good in my opinion, I attach hereto a copy of the statement to be considered as a part of this message.

Briefly stated the reasons for this veto are as follows:

1. The enactment is unnecessary as I am convinced that statutes and laws now exist under which prosecution can be had for the wrongs complained of.

2. No State in this section of the Country and possibly throughout the Union has seen fit to enact such a measure.

3. In the last two years no cases have been brought to my attention which could not have been prosecuted under existing laws and which could only have been reached by this enactment.