

Chapter 593 (Senate Bill 499). This Bill is in conflict with Chapter 888 (Senate Bill 71), according to the opinion rendered by the State Law Department.

The legal opinion points out that Chapter 888, which is the State-wide Bill, includes provision for the return of the control of County roads in Garrett County to the County Commissioners; also includes a provision limiting the purchases of road machinery in Garrett County to not more than \$10,000 in any year. On the other hand, Senate Bill 499, limits the expenditures for road machinery to not more than \$10,000 for both the years 1941 and 1942.

Obviously, both regulations could not be enforced and inasmuch as the State-wide measure contains other important and necessary provisions, it is incumbent upon me to veto Senate Bill 499.

Chapter 922 (House Bill 675). The subject matter of this Bill is included in a Bill already signed, reducing taxes levied upon admissions paid in places of amusement, from 1% to $\frac{1}{2}$ of 1%.

This Bill is therefore unnecessary and will be vetoed.

FISH.

Chapter 460 (House Bill 739). This measure was introduced by the Calvert County Delegation at the request of interested citizens residing near Hunting Creek. The Bill contains the provision against the setting of pound and trap nets. After the enactment of the measure, a large number of the people who had requested the Bill to be introduced, joined in a petition asking that it be vetoed. They stressed the fact that its provisions would work a hardship on local fishermen, which would far out-weigh any advantages from the proposed law. A written petition, signed by this large number, was submitted and one of the delegates who introduced the measure reversed his attitude and joined in the request for this veto, which action I am now taking.

GARRETT COUNTY.

Chapter 754 (House Bill 704). If this Bill were signed, there would be written into the statutory law of the State a prohibition against the appointment by the County Commissioners of Garrett County, under certain circumstances, of an attorney to advise them in legal matters, unless the attorney is a resident and voter of Garrett County and has his principal office in Oakland.

No matter how important the litigation might be, unless the matter fell in one of the several exceptions, the County might