

to hold such meetings anywhere outside the State, even though all or most of the stockholders reside in Maryland. Possible abuses of this privilege suggest themselves, and indicate the advisability of some limitation restricting the privilege to corporations at least a majority of whose stockholders are non-residents. It appears to be conceded that the legitimate purpose of this measure would not be defeated by such a limitation, nor does there seem to be any pressing need which would require its present enactment without such safeguards.

I will, therefore, veto the bill in its present form.

DOG LAWS.

(Chapter 111, House Bill 327.)

Crisfield. This bill undertakes to exempt Crisfield from the Dog License Law recently made uniform throughout the State by Sections 267 to 273 of Article 56 of the Code. There does not seem to be any reason for exempting Crisfield from this law.

(Chapter 422, Senate Bill 300)

Cambridge. This bill undertakes to exempt Cambridge from the provisions of the State-wide Dog License Law, aforesaid, and in addition to this, the provisions of the bill are almost unintelligible.

For these reasons, these two bills will be vetoed.

WEIGHTS AND MEASURES IN ALLEGANY COUNTY.

(Chapter 345, House Bill 544)

This bill undertakes to regulate and adjust weights and measures in Allegany County. It provides for the appointment of an inspector of weights and measures in the county, and the provisions in this respect are in conflict with Section 156 of Article 89 of the Code, by which the Bureau of Mines provides for the inspection of weights and measures at all mines in the State. This latter statute is so important, particularly in Allegany County, as to require the veto of House Bill No. 544, conflicting with it.