

all others and against the peace of the said Lord Proprietarie his Good rule and Government
Wherefore the said attorney prayeth the advice of the Court Here in the premises for
the said Lord Proprietarie and due process of Law against the said James Robats in this part to
Issue to answer to the said Lord Proprietarie of and upon the premises he. Francis Corpat.

And the said James Robats in his proper person cometh and saith that he is in no
Sort guilty of the offences above upon him imposed as by the said Information it is
alleged and thereof he putteth himself upon the Country James Robats in Pr. Pa.
and he who followeth for the Lord Proprietarie in like manner saith he is guilty
Francis Corpat.

Thereupon Command was given to the Sheriff of Kent County that he immediately come
to come here Twelve W. by whom he who neither he. To Recognize he because as well
he. Of which said process the said Sheriff to wit John Gresham Gould was here at
Thursday to wit the Twentieth day of November Anno Domini One Thousand Seven hundred
and thirty three makes return that he hath here ready Twelve W. as by his process he
was commanded and now here afterwards to wit this day and year last mentioned come
again the said Lord Proprietarie by his attorney afo. and the said James Robats in his prop.
person And the Jurors of that Jury being sworn and called the wife came to wit
Arthur Miller Joseph Nicholson Thomas Bordley William Blackston William Dean John
William Vincent Hatcherman Josias Ruggold Richard Knoulman Nicholas Massey
Samuel Wallis and John Earle who being duly elected tryd and sworn to say the
truth in the premises upon their Oath do say James Robats is guilty of the pro:
cesses above upon imposed as by the Information it is alleged and by Arthur
Miller there foreman all so say. The said James Robats being called app
and being asked whether he had any thing to say why Judgment should not pass
against him on the verdict of the Jurors afo. to which he said that could say
nothing to the contrary why Judgment should not be given against him

Therefore it is CONSIDERED by the Justices here to wit at Kent County
at the Court House in the Town of Chester in said County on the third Tuesday in Novem:
ber being the Twentieth day of said Month Anno Domini One Thousand Seven
hundred and thirty three That the said James Robats for committing the offence
afo. do be kept and imprisoned and Month in the Custody of the Sheriff
of Kent County and also pay the sum of five pounds current money of the
Province afo. as a fine to the use of his Lordship and also pay the sum of
Nineteen hundred Seventy Nine pounds of Tobacco cost accruing on the
processes afo. to the officers of this Court

ordered by this Court That John Gresham Gould Sheriff of said County
keep the said James Robats in Custody until the fine afo. be duly
paid and satisfied

ordered by this Court That John Gresham Gould Sheriff of said County
keep the said James Robats in Custody until the fees afo. be duly paid and
satisfied

ordered by this Court That John Gresham Gould Sheriff of Kent
County keep the said James Robats safe in Imprison for One Month
beginning the Twentieth day of December next ensuing it being agreeable to the
Judgment afo.

James Stuart being on Evidence in the said cause comes and praves he attended