

Lord Proprietary his good rule and Government wherefore the said Attorney prays the
the advice of the Court here in the premises for the said Lord Propy. and due process of
Law against the said James Robas in this part to give to answer to the said Lord Propy.
of and upon the premises &c — Francis Cor par.

And the said James Robas in his proper person cometh and saith that he is in no sort
guilty of the premises above upon him imposed as by the said Information it is alleg'd
and thereof he putteth himself upon the Court James Robas in p. 1730
and he who followeth for the Lord Propy saith in like manner truly he is guilty
Francis Cor par.

Whereupon Command was given to the Sheriff of the said County that he immediately
cause to come here Twelve W. by whom it is to be recognized &c because as
well W. of which said process the said Sheriff is with John Gresham Esq. now here
at this day to wit the twentieth day of November Anno Domini One Thousand Seven hundred
and thirty three make return that he hath here ready Twelve W. as by his process he
was commanded And now here afterwards to wit the day and year last mentioned come
against the said Lord Propy by his attorney &c and the said James Robas in his proper person
and the Jurors of that Jury being first sworn and called likewise come to wit Arthur Miller
Joseph Nicholson Thomas Bowley William Blackston William Dear John Williams Esq.
Walterson James Ruggold Richard Knoulton Nicholas Massey Samuel Wallis and
John Larles who being duly sworn tryd and Sworn to the Jury the truth in the premises.
The atty. of the said Lord Propy Inform the Court that the Information &c is by
mistake Wrong Therefore desires that a Juror may be withdrawn and that the
same Jury may be discharged from giving any verdict in the said Cause upon
which the Court orders that John Larles being one of the said Jury be withdrawn
which was immediately done and thereupon the same Jury was discharged &c —

The Court orders that the atty. of the Indebted of this Court exhibit an new
Information against the said James Robas which was accordingly done and is as
followeth viz.

Memorandum that Touch Francis Esq. attorney of the Right Honour-
able the Lord Propy that now is for the County &c who for the same Lord Propy in this
part prosecuteth doth come here into the said County Court before James Harris Esq. and his
associates his Lordships Justices of the same County to wit at Chester Town in the said County
the twenty first day of November Anno Domini Seventeen hundred thirty and three and
for the same Lord Propy doth give the Court here to understand and be informed that
James Robas late of S. Pauls parish in the County of York the tenth day of January Anno
Domini Seventeen hundred thirty and two at the parish &c in the County &c within the jurisdiction of
this Court falsely fraudulently deceitfully and unjustly to James Craddock of the same County
Mariner did affirm and assert that he the said James Robas then and there was called and
known by the name of Richard Mason and that the said James Robas by and in the name
of the said Richard Mason then and there falsely fraudulently deceitfully and unjustly
dove goods Wares and Merchandizes of the goods and Chattels of George Strange Jun. of the
value of two hundred pounds of Tobacco for Tobacco to be paid hereafter did buy and
purchase and that the said James Robas falsely fraudulently deceitfully and unjustly
then and there in and by the name of the said Richard Mason to the same James Craddock
did promise that he the said James Robas by the name of Richard Mason &c Tobacco
for the said Goods Wares and Merchandizes unto John Harvey on behalf of and to the use and
 behoof of the said George Strange Jun. also thereto afterwards he should be required
well and faithfully would pay and content whereas in truth and in fact the said
James Robas never was called known or reputed by the name of Richard Mason to
the great damage and deceit of the said George Strange to the evil Example of