

void otherwise to be in full force and effect in Law
 The said Robert Street appearing to the same the Recognizance was continued until the next Court in Case being the third Tuesday in August then next ensuing

At which said third Tuesday in August being the nineteenth day of said Month Anno Domini One Thousand Seven hundred and thirty three Came againe the said Robert Street and in his proper person appeared and prayed the same Recognizance might be discharged order by this Court here that the same Recognizance be discharged

Robert Street of his Recognizance taken in the Sum of four pounds Sterling for his Appearance as aforesaid and Court as aforesaid this Court now here discharge the same

of His Lordship } the County of } The Jurors for the rights
 Martha Lewis } Honourable the Lord Proprietary that now is for
 the body of that County and upon their Oath
 do present that Martha Lewis of St. Pauls
 Parish in the County of Wicoro the tenth day of March Anno Domini
 Seventeen hundred thirty and two at the Parish aforesaid in the County aforesaid
 within the Jurisdiction of this Court did upon a certain Robert Street
 in the peace of God and of the said Lord Proprietary then and there being
 with force and Arms an assault did make and to the said Robert then
 and there did deal wound & hurt so that of his Life it was
 much impaired and other harms to him then and there did to the
 great Damage of the said Robert and against the peace of the said
 Lord Proprietary that now is his good rule and Dequity v. J. JAMES
 J. J. Street Wm Drury Mary Drury George Moore
 The said Judgment was ordered by the said William Blackston forasmuch

at the third Tuesday in June being the nineteenth day of June Anno Domini One Thousand Seven hundred and thirty three The said Martha Lewis not appearing to the said Judgment the same was continued until the third Tuesday in August then next ensuing

At which said third Tuesday in August being the twenty first day of said Month Anno Domini One Thousand Seven hundred and thirty three Came the same Martha Lewis and in her proper person said that she cannot gainsay the Judgment aforesaid so as aforesaid against her brought nor can she say but that she is guilty of the premises above upon her Impression as by the said Judgment it is alleged