

Richard Sweetnam pet

vs
Thomas Withersby def^t

Doct 1740 Job: In which
the defend^t by Matthew Withersby

his Attorney Applying and making this Court sensible
that there was a variance betwixt the sum laid in the
declaration and the sum proposed in the writ and that
by misapprehension of the Clerk Judgment is awarded for a Non
suit

Therefore it is considered by this Court that the defend^t
Thomas Withersby doo Recover from the Pet Richard
Sweetnam as well the sum of fifty pounds of Job:
for a Non suit as also the sum of One hundred shillings
and six pence of Job: Cost of suit

Simon Wilmer ~~pet~~

Simon Wilmer promises in Court to pay cost

Richard Sweetnam pet

vs
Gr: Hollingsworth def^t

Doct 2458 Job: award to which
the defend^t applying by Matthew

Denick for his Attorney and making this Court sensible that
there was a variance betwixt the sum laid in the declaration
and the sum proposed in the writ

Therefore it ordered by this Court that the said writ Abate

Simon Wilmer ~~pet~~

Done pet^t at George Smith def^t doct 2307 Tobacco
discontinued

Done pet^t at James Williams def^t doct 2300 Job: discontinued