

Hudson } Per the Difendt's prayer by or of the Britt Hunsing
Plaintiff } p. Post.

And Likewise the Difendt by William Hunsing his attorney
comes and difends the same and injury nation &c and damages
in Law to the Pet Declaration and prayer Judgment

Hunsing v. Difendt

John Hunter being Argued and allowed of to go to
trial in Law by this Court Judgment is Allowed for action
suit.

Therefore it is considered by this Court that the Difendt
John Hunter doth Recover from the Pet. Gorardus Hunsing
as Abst the sume of fifty pounds of Tobacco for a Non
Suit as also the sume of One hundred and five pounds of
Eight pounds of Tobacco cost of suit

~~Attⁿ 75~~
~~offⁿ 100~~
~~475~~

SIMON WILMER Esq^r

Gorardus Hunsing p. t.
John Hunter Difendt } Note but if Plaintiff not prosecuting
by } his Action Judgment is Allowed for action
suit

Therefore it is considered by this Court that the Difendt
John Hunter doth Recover from the Pet. Gorardus
Hunsing as Abst the sume of fifty pounds of Tobacco for a
Non Suit as also the sume of One hundred and fifty and Eight
pounds of Tobacco cost of suit

~~Attⁿ 100~~
~~158~~

SIMON WILMER Esq^r

Hudson } Reasons in Arrest of Judgment
Plaintiff }

First there is a great variance between his Original Britt Declaration
for in the Britt command is given to the Sheriff that he take John Hudson
in the Town of New York alias John Hudson of Kent County which is too great
a variance that it cannot go before by the Statute of Frauds Before
Report of 1st f. 134 Murray and Harris for reso. from 21 Jan 1613
which is after in 13 R. H. H. Hugh geard a diligent record & recd per
J. J.