

Miller and the said Michael as well by him selfe as by his attornies
sundry remeth and defend the Honor and Injury wherof he:

plea: and saith that the said William his action against him
the said Michael ought not to stand for that the said William
did require the said Michael to account with him the said
William nor a copy of the sd. account did ever deliver unto
him the said Michael as the Law in such case putteth
provid. and this he is ready to avow and demand judgment
whether the said William his action ought to stand &c.

Miller

Miller and the said William Harris for Replecion saith that
the said plea of the foresaid Michael Miller is not sufficient
to barre him the said William of his action of foresaid for that
in the said William did often Requeer him the said Michael
to acct: and that a copy of the said account to him the said
Michael by him the said William did deliver before the
bringing of the Original writ and this he is ready to avow
and demand judgment of this fact for his debt and cost &c.

Harris & det

and the said Michael saith that a copy of the account
of foresaid to the said William did not deliver as aforesaid

Miller

John Ward Swore on m. William Harris his behalf to
Justifie as truth of Knowledge Pursuant to said Harris &
Miller

The Court having heard his Evidence and the readings in
both sides did order rule of said Millers his plea but
notwithstanding upon the said Millers request by the consent
of the said Harris he is permitted to demur to the Declaration
And the said Michael demurth to the said det: and saith that
one article to wit John this of Judgment god of good: being a pugn
ought not to be brought by Action of anonmt although Judgment
affidavit the Article a Bill of 1976 God: being a Bill an action
of Debt layd, not anonmt. & of this he prayes Judgment:

Miller