

The Jury being duly sworn to give the true Verdict as to fact
Verdict, and hearing the pleadings as well on behalf of the
Plaintiff as the Defendant and their things being given by the Court
the Jury withdrew to consider on this promise and after some
time has returned and says words of the Jury find for the Pet:
the sum of 400 of Tobacco Griffith Jones Foreman

Whereupon the Pet moves this Court that he may have Judgment
against the Defendant for the said sum of 400 Tobacco
according to the Jurors verdict

But the Defendant M Mathews Drickson his Attorney moves
and craves Judgment till next Court which is accordingly
granted
Simon Wilmer

George Martin Pet
vs
William Osborne & Maxon
et al of Edward Owen } Continued

Edward Southam Pet
vs
John Henry Courfay } Continued

Michael Miller Pet
vs
Patrick Gormark } Continued

Edward Malcolm & Susanna
his wife et al of Phillip David
vs
Mary Spence et al of James
Quigley } Continued

The same vs the same Retrait

Edward Malcolm and Susanna
his wife et al of Phillip David
vs
John Spence } Retrait