

The resolution being read throughout, the question was put, Will the house assent to the same? Resolved in the affirmative.

Mr. Sturgis, from the committee, delivers to the speaker a bill, entitled, An act to authorise and empower the levy court of Worcester county to assess and levy a sum of money for the support and maintenance of Susanna Davis; which was read the first time and ordered to lie on the table.

The following message was read and agreed to.

By the HOUSE of DELEGATE S, November 17, 1806.

*Gentlemen of the Senate,*

WE are deeply sensible of the importance of the subject alluded to in your message proposing a conference of the two houses, and agree to the proposition therein contained. We have appointed Mr. Comegys, Mr. Kerr, Mr. Aisquith, Mr. Belt, Mr. Davis, Mr. Bond and Mr. Gleaves; a committee on the part of this house, to join the committee named in your message.

By order,

J. BREWER, clk.

On motion, Leave given to bring in a bill, entitled, A further supplement to an act, entitled, An act for the better regulation of apprentices. ORDERED, That Mr. Little, Mr. Aisquith and Mr. Hawkins, be a committee to prepare and bring in the same.

On motion, Leave given to bring in a bill, entitled, An act to abolish all such parts of the constitution and form of government as relate to the time and manner of electing the senate, and the mode of filling up vacancies in that body. ORDERED, That Mr. Watts, Mr. Frisby, Mr. W. H. Brown, Mr. Mitchell and Mr. Sudler, be a committee to prepare and bring in the same.

On motion, the question was put, That the house adjourn until to-morrow evening 3 o'clock? Resolved in the affirmative.

The house adjourns until to-morrow evening 3 o'clock.

T U E S D A Y, November 18, 1806.

THE house met. Present the same members as on yesterday, except Mr. Hanson and Mr. Gleaves. The proceedings of yesterday were read. Mr. Harryman appears in the house.

On motion, the question was put, That the house adjourn until to-morrow morning 9 o'clock? Determined in the negative.

On motion, the question was then put, That the house adjourn until to-morrow morning 9 o'clock? The yeas and nays being required, appeared as follow:

A F F I R M A T I V E.

Messrs.	W. H. Brown,	Merriken,	P. Stuart,	Jackson,	Woodward,	Dashiell,	Bayard,	Bowles,	
	Frisby,	Williams,	M'Pherson,	King,	Sudler,	Street,	Keene,	Selby,	
	Pearce,	C. D. Hodget,	Harryman,	Ward,	Downes,	Bond,	R. Steuart,	Rizer,	28.
	Belt,	Emerson,	Gale,	Dennis,					

N E G A T I V E.

Messrs.	Hebb,	M. Brown,	Frazier,	J. Brown,	Biggs,	Jump,	Schnebly,	Darne,	
	Gardiner,	Dickinson,	Porter,	Sturgis,	Waters,	Turpin,	Carroll,	Selby,	
	Comegys,	Winder,	Moffit,	White,	Kuhn,	Aisquith,	Watts,	Briscoe,	27.
	Parnham,	Smoot,	Mitchell,					Beall,	

So it was resolved in the affirmative.

The house adjourns until to-morrow morning 9 o'clock.

W E D N E S D A Y, November 19, 1806.

THE house met. Present the same members as on yesterday. The proceedings of yesterday were read.

The bill to alter, change and repeal, such parts of the constitution and form of government as relate to the division of Saint-Mary's county into electoral districts, the bill authorising the justices of the orphans courts to take sheriffs bonds, the resolution relative to the choice of a senator in the senate of the United States, and the message relative to the committee of conference, were sent to the senate by the clerk.

The clerk of the senate delivers the following message:

By the S E N A T E, November 19, 1806.

*Gentlemen of the House of Delegates,*

WE have been informed by our clerk, that in delivering the message last sent to your house from the senate, he was not received in the customary form, no annunciation being made of a message, as has hitherto been when a communication was to be received by one branch of the legislature from the other; we wish to be informed why this was omitted, whether it was by any order of your house, and if so, let us know the reasons that have induced you to depart from that form of communication between the two houses which has been observed for years. For one house to adopt a mode of receiving or sending messages different from that which has been long observed and practised, without previously informing the other of the alteration, appears to us, to say the least