

His Lordship — } Daniel Dullahunty of Front County planter at  
 Elizabeth Paskell } last Court entered recognizance for the said Eliz:<sup>a</sup>  
 Paskell appearance at this Court to answer unto an  
 Judgment for fornication and Good Behaviour &  
 now at this Court the said Elizabeth having appeared ordered that the said  
 Dan:<sup>e</sup> Dullahunty's recognizance be discharged

Daniel Dullahunty of Front County planter at last Court as aforesaid  
 acknowledged himself Judged unto the Lord prop:<sup>y</sup> of this province of  
 Maryland in the sum of ten pounds Sterling money of Great Britain  
 to be levied of his Goods and Chattels Lands and Tenements conditionally  
 that if the said Elizabeth Paskell should not make her personal appearance  
 before the Justice of the Honourable Court to be hold for s<sup>d</sup> County a 1<sup>st</sup> Court house  
 in the Town of Chester to answer unto an Judgment found ag<sup>st</sup> her for  
 fornication and if that in the meantime she should not be of Good behav:<sup>r</sup>  
 and now at this Court ~~the~~ <sup>the</sup> 21<sup>st</sup> Day of June Anno Domini 1726 the  
 s<sup>d</sup> Elizabeth having appeared the said Dan:<sup>e</sup> Dullahunty his recognizance  
 is discharged

Kent County s<sup>t</sup>. The Jurors for the Right Hon:<sup>ble</sup> the Lord prop:<sup>y</sup> that now  
 is for the body of Front County and upon their oath Depose that Elizabeth  
 Paskell of Shrewbury parish in the County and Parish the first Day  
 of October Anno Domini Seventeen Hundred and twenty five at the parish  
 and in the County and within the Jurisdiction of this Court did Commit forni-  
 cation with a certain person to the Jurors and unknown and did Permit the  
 same unknown person then and there to have carnal knowledge of her body  
 and to begett there and there a bastard Child on the body of her the said Elizabeth  
 to the high Displeasure of Almighty God to y<sup>e</sup> evil Example of all others in  
 such Case offending Contrary to the peace of the s<sup>d</sup> Lord prop:<sup>y</sup> that now is his  
 Good Rule and Government & against the form of the Act of Assembly in such Case  
 made and provided on — Francis Corfield —

Test. Henry Palmer —  
 In the Back of the proceeding Judgment was as fol:<sup>l</sup> viz<sup>t</sup> —  
 Anno Bill of Sam:<sup>e</sup> Wilkes forman —  
 Afterwards to wit the twenty first Day of June Anno Domini 1726 Comes here  
 into Court the said Touch Francis Attorney of the s<sup>d</sup> Lord prop:<sup>y</sup> and saith that  
 for as much as he hath not Evidence sufficient to Maintain the aforesaid Charge  
 in the Judgment aforesaid Specified against the said Elizabeth Paskell he will  
 not