

VETOES

This bill would allow Baltimore City to continue to use its highway user revenues for public school transportation in FY 1991 and 1992.

Senate Bill 518, which was passed by the General Assembly and signed by me on May 29, 1990, accomplishes the same purpose. Therefore, it is not necessary for me to sign House Bill 137.

Sincerely,
William Donald Schaefer
Governor

House Bill No. 137

AN ACT concerning

Baltimore City – Highway User Revenues – Public School Transportation

FOR the purpose of ~~removing~~ extending a limitation on the period of time during which highway user revenues distributed to Baltimore City are authorized to be used to pay or finance students' costs of certain public school transportation; and generally relating to the use in Baltimore City of highway user revenues for public school transportation.

BY repealing and reenacting, with amendments,

Article – Transportation

Section 8-408(a)

Annotated Code of Maryland

(1977 Volume and 1989 Supplement)

SECTION 1. BE IT ENACTED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY OF MARYLAND, That the Laws of Maryland read as follows:

Article – Transportation

8-408.

(a) Highway user revenues distributed to Baltimore City and Kent County may be used only to pay or finance:

(1) Costs incurred in the construction, reconstruction, or maintenance of its highways and streets;

(2) Costs incurred by its police department for carrying out traffic functions and enforcing the traffic laws;

(3) Costs incurred in its other highway related activities for:

(i) Lighting the highways;

(ii) Storm water drainage of the highways; and