1 me 2 ri 3 Go 4 ar

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

means or redress are ineffectual, the People may, and of right ought, to reform the old, or establish a new Government; the doctrine of non-resistence against arbitrary power and oppression is absurd, slavish and destructive of the good and happiness of mankind.

I submit this language has come down in this state from the earliest of times and indeed was the language of Lord Cook in the Petition of Rights before Parliament, drawn upon by other great political philosophers, and has been in our Constitution from the earliest period of time. To summarily dismiss this language, which to me is beautiful language, to cast it aside would be tantamount in my judgment to casting aside the crossed botonee on our flag.

I think with great emphasis that the approach of this Committee has been wrong. I think this Committee could protect the rights of our people and retain as far as possible the language that has come down to us since 1776.

 $\hbox{ I therefore say that or would move that } \\ \hbox{Section 6, I assume with the adoption of Section 1}$