

1 the far extreme and have proportional representation.  
2 I don't think that argument would hold up.

3 On the opposite side we find a bicameral  
4 legislature would be more expensive than a unicameral  
5 legislature. It is slower, I think, without necessarily  
6 improving the bill. In fact, just as often as not, I  
7 think, it dilutes what otherwise would have been a good  
8 bill. It is more subject to pork barrel and  
9 hanky panky in my opinion. I think it dilutes  
10 responsibility because if you don't do a good job in one  
11 House, you can have the other House do it. It makes  
12 no difference which House because both Houses were  
13 guilty of passing unconstitutional bills that were  
14 caught in the other House in this past session.

15 I don't think that something that has been  
16 talked of for this long a time is radical. Right now  
17 the whole country is discussing this proposition almost  
18 in every state. Dr. Wigam from Nebraska just completed  
19 his Ph.D. thesis on the unicameral system in Nebraska.  
20 He is presently working for the only Democrats in  
21 Nebraska right now. He testified recently pointing out