

1 have which means you cannot get all voters from any one
2 county or city. I think it is good, not too restrictive,
3 and is worthy of consideration.

4 MR. SCANLAN: The point is if you don't do
5 that, what you have here makes it even easier to petition
6 a law to referendum than the situation now permitted
7 under the present Constitution, which is bad enough.

8 THE CHAIRMAN: Further discussion?

9 DR. BARD: I think the provision underscores
10 the significance that the issue is state-wide and
11 important.

12 MR. SAYRE: I think the biggest restriction
13 here is the 5 per cent. When you get a requirement of
14 40,000 votes under these terms that even if it is
15 within one county or two that is a significant part of
16 the state-wide referendum.

17 THE CHAIRMAN: Any further comment?
18 Ready for the question? The question arises on the
19 motion to insert in lieu of the language included in
20 brackets which has just been deleted the phrase in the
21 existing Constitution of whom not more than one-half are