

1 to those two bodies, because of the Supreme Court's
2 decision that same meaning and basis and logic does not
3 follow for the states.

4 Just to preserve and go on and say that it
5 is politically infeasible to change systems at this
6 point doesn't seem to me to do real justice to the
7 temperament of the people. They are now aware that
8 there is a change and it is no longer, it would seem
9 to me no longer necessary to preserve bicameralism
10 when the logic is no longer there. Simply for tradition's
11 sake.

12 Checks and balances argument just gives you
13 a double vote for a bill, and there is no reason for
14 saying two votes on the same matter are any better than
15 one vote on the matter. If the personnel in the two
16 bodies is elected on the same identical basis, it seems
17 to me it ought to be but the one House.

18 The other point I want to make is here we
19 have had a prior meeting, we have had all the materials
20 submitted to us and reviewed and various other reports
21 are saying that they are contingent upon the vote on