

1 this knowledge in and of itself is going to take care
2 of the point Dale is making.

3 I would have no objection myself to see it
4 go back to specific emergency legislation because I
5 think that's what I had in mind. But on second thought,
6 I think it is a very tenuous position and one which,
7 as a practical matter, wouldn't have any effect.

8 MR. SCANLAN: I was one of those misled
9 by Mr. Case's motion. I recall the legislative history
10 of this provision. I moved that the whole section on
11 referendum be struck on the grounds we didn't need
12 them any more. That was defeated by a fairly close vote.
13 Mr. Case then suggested a compromise which is the
14 language you now have in Section 8.

15 If it was intended to refer to emergency
16 legislation, then it is no more than we have in the
17 Constitution now because emergency legislation in that
18 case the law becomes effective. I voted for the
19 compromise because I thought this was some curb on the
20 abuse of the referendum procedure. I would prefer it
21 stay as it is.