my proposition very clearly but I am concerned about this because in press of legislation a lot of bills go through by a simple routine roll call without real debate or contest and maybe it is intended they should not be suspended but it seems to me you are considerably downgrading the whole referendum advantage if you adopt this provision. I am not sure it is not all right because I am not sure I like the referendum but that is another problem.

sure from the minutes just what action the Commission intended. As I understood it at the July meeting the Commission definitely wanted to get away from the label emergency bill and definitely wanted to get away from the idea that in order to be nonreferable, the bill had to be effective at a date different from the normal date. But it is not clear to me whether the Commission meant that any law designated in some way as nonreferable and passed by three-fifths vote should be nonreferable or whether the Commission meant merely that any law which ended up with an affirmative vote of more than three-