

1 language, would the Committee accept tentatively either
2 of these suggestions? Perhaps Mr. Miller's, if legally
3 pardoned, or some similar phrase.

4 MR. GENTRY: It seems to be satisfactory.

5 THE CHAIRMAN: Let me suggest that the Committee
6 reconsider that phrase and in the September draft
7 include whichever language they think is proper. Any
8 further questions?

9 MR. MINDEL: Why should the Committee use
10 serious crime in one instance and plain crime in the
11 second? Is it necessary to use the word serious?

12 MR. GENTRY: There has been quite a lot of
13 thought to serious. Even though vague, it gives the
14 thought to the Legislature that the Constitution provides
15 you should be disenfranchised only if a crime is
16 serious. To leave the word out altogether it would leave
17 to the Legislature power for parking violation, power
18 to disenfranchise for anything of that sort. This gives
19 the courts the right to test that and the right to apply
20 this test in such manner and with such latitude as the
21 courts from time to time would see fit. I don't think