5. 

2.0

perhaps would want to implement his strong feeling.

MR. MILES: I think to begin with, this is not the main reason I am against it but to me, I think, you will attract a great deal of opposition to the convention and Commission's whole program if you advocate abolition of the two-House set-up. It is part of the state tradition and history. I still think many mistakes can be corrected that are made in one House by another House. I think it affords the people in the smaller counties at least a feeling that they have some what of a more voice in it than if it is one House.

MR. SCANLAN: I would like to add one thing.
You will recall at the second hearing with the Legislature I put that same question to Senator Hughes and to
Senator James. I asked them did they feel that if the convention or the Commission recommended unicameralism, their reply was it might jeoparaize the adoption of the entire document, wasn't that true, didn't they indicate they had to admit that if unicameralism became an issue, the entire question of constitutional reform could be jeopardized?