But that is a matter of language.

1

13

2 3

4

5 6 7

8

9 10

11 12

> 13 14

15

17

16

18 19

20

21

in its order how much time they would have.

THE CHAIRMAN: I want to get the principle. Everybody does feel that if the Legislature adopts a plan and the plan is held invalid, you come back to the Legislature and start the cycle again. Does anybody object to that?

MR. HOFF: You go through the court processes any number of times and delay this thing forever.

> I agree with that. MR. CASE:

I think the language of the section MR. HOFF: that we have just adopted would take care of the matter, because if an invalid plan has been promulgated, and the courts have thrown it out, then there has been no plan

within the eighteen months' period, and any petitioners could request the Court of Appeals to promulgate its plan.

THE CHAIRMAN: Then, Mr. Hoff would disagree with the other views expressed that the court would still have the power to extend it?

> MR. HOFF: Yes.

MR. CASE: If I were writing this material, I

I think I would would approach it a little differently.