

1 has been before the courts in a number of situations, and it
2 can, when you have that kind of a clause, it does involve
3 a twenty-three months and twenty-nine day period. It can be.

4 DR. BARD: That is a good point.

5 MR. HAILE: I agree with Mr. Case. After this
6 debate, I agree eighteen months is better than two years.

7 THE CHAIRMAN: May I suggest we defer for the
8 moment the question of the time, because I don't think
9 we can consider that until we have considered the other
10 question as to the procedure. It seems to me you will
11 have difficulties with time if your procedure goes one
12 way or the other.

13 DR. BARD: Mr. Chairman, could we in our voting
14 divide it up so that perhaps the last statement dealing
15 with the Court of Appeals could be voted upon separately,
16 or else in terms of the possibility of a revision that
17 would take care of some of the questions that were noted?

18 THE CHAIRMAN: I wanted to have that separate-
19 ly and determined first. I wanted to make one comment
20 that somebody touched on but didn't exactly make, and
21 that is that it seems to me that this type of procedure